

# Conformal mapping and impedance tomography

**Rainer Kress**

University of Göttingen, 37083 Göttingen, Germany

E-mail: [kress@math.uni-goettingen.de](mailto:kress@math.uni-goettingen.de)

**Abstract.** Over the last decade Akduman, Haddar and Kress [1, 3, 5] have employed a conformal mapping technique for the inverse problem to recover a perfectly conducting or a non-conducting inclusion in a homogeneous background medium from Cauchy data on the accessible exterior boundary. More recently, Haddar and Kress [4] proposed an extension of this approach to two-dimensional inverse electrical impedance tomography with piecewise constant conductivities. A main ingredient of this extension is the incorporation of the transmission condition on the unknown interior boundary via a nonlocal boundary condition in terms of an integral equation. We present an outline of the foundations of this new method.

## 1. Introduction

In a new numerical scheme for solving inverse boundary value problems for the Laplace equation in a doubly connected two-dimensional domain  $D$  via a conformal mapping technique introduced by Akduman, Haddar and Kress [1, 3, 5] the reconstruction of the non-accessible interior boundary curve  $\Gamma_0$  from over determined Cauchy data on the accessible exterior boundary curve  $\Gamma_1$  is based on a conformal map  $\Psi : B \rightarrow D$  that takes an annulus  $B$  bounded by two concentric circles  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  onto  $D$ . The Cauchy–Riemann equations provide a nonlocal and nonlinear ordinary differential equation for the boundary values  $\Psi|_{C_1}$  on the exterior circle that can be solved by successive approximations. Then a Cauchy problem for the holomorphic function  $\Psi$  has to be solved by a regularized Laurent expansion to retrieve the unknown interior boundary curve via  $\Gamma_0 = \Psi(C_0)$ . For the reconstruction of a perfectly conducting or a non-conducting inclusion, i.e., the inverse problem with a homogeneous Dirichlet or Neumann condition on  $\Gamma_0$  this conformal mapping method separates the inverse problem into the nonlinear well-posed problem for the ordinary differential equation and the linear ill-posed Cauchy problem.

The inverse electrical impedance problem to reconstruct the shape of a conducting inclusion with a constant conductivity that is different from the constant background conductivity of  $D$  corresponds to an inverse transmission problem. For this case, when applying the conformal mapping idea, in principle, two conformal maps are required. In addition to the mapping  $\Psi : B \rightarrow D$  also a map taking the interior of  $C_0$  onto the interior of  $\Gamma_0$  is needed. Furthermore, the homogeneous transmission condition on  $\Gamma_0$  transforms into a more complicated transmission condition on  $C_0$  containing the traces of the two conformal maps at different locations for both sides of  $C_0$ .

Restricting themselves to the case where the two conformal maps are extensions of each other, and consequently have to coincide with a Moebius transform, in a first attempt Dambrine and Kateb [2] were able to extend parts of the above methods to the inverse transmission problem. In a recent paper, Haddar and Kress [4] proposed a different approach that uses only

the conformal map for the annulus and incorporates the transformed transmission condition on  $C_0$  by a nonlocal boundary condition in terms of a boundary integral equation for the trace of the solution to the transmission problem on  $\Gamma_0$ .

## 2. The inverse algorithm

Let  $D_0$  and  $D_1$  be two simply connected bounded domains in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with  $C^2$  smooth boundaries  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  such that  $\overline{D_0} \subset D_1$ . Let  $D := D_1 \setminus \overline{D_0}$  and assume the unit normal  $\nu$  to  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$  to be directed into the exterior of  $D_0$  and  $D_1$ , respectively. For a given function  $f \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma_1)$  and given positive constants  $\sigma_0$  and  $\sigma_1$  we consider the transmission problem for the Laplace equation

$$\Delta u_0 = 0 \quad \text{in } D_0, \quad \Delta u = 0 \quad \text{in } D \quad (2.1)$$

for  $u_0 \in H^1(D_0)$  and  $u \in H^1(D)$  with boundary condition

$$u = f \quad \text{on } \Gamma_1 \quad (2.2)$$

and transmission conditions

$$u_0 = u, \quad \sigma_0 \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu} = \sigma_1 \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \quad \text{on } \Gamma_0. \quad (2.3)$$

After denoting the normal derivative of  $u$  on  $\Gamma_1$  by

$$g := \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \right|_{\Gamma_1}$$

the inverse problem under consideration is to determine the shape of the interior boundary curve  $\Gamma_0$  from pairs of Cauchy data  $(f, g)$ .

In the sequel we will identify  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\mathbb{C}$ . We introduce the annulus  $B$  bounded by the concentric circles  $C_0$  with radius  $\rho$  and  $C_1$  with radius one centered at the origin. By the conformal mapping theorem there exists a uniquely determined radius  $\rho$  and a holomorphic function  $\Psi$  that maps  $B$  bijectively onto  $D$  such that the boundaries  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  are mapped onto  $\Gamma_0$  and  $\Gamma_1$ , respectively. Assuming for simplicity that the total length of  $\Gamma_1$  is  $2\pi$ , we denote by  $\gamma : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \Gamma_1$  the parameterization of  $\Gamma_1$  in terms of arc length.

We define a function  $\varphi : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [0, 2\pi]$  by setting

$$\varphi(t) := \gamma^{-1}(\Psi(e^{it})). \quad (2.4)$$

Roughly speaking,  $\varphi$  describes how  $\Psi$  maps arc length on  $C_1$  onto arc length on  $\Gamma_1$ . Clearly, the boundary values  $\varphi$  uniquely determine  $\Psi$  as the solution to the Cauchy problem with  $\Psi$  on  $C_1$  given through  $\Psi(e^{it}) = \gamma(\varphi(t))$ . Hence, the operator

$$N_\rho : \varphi \mapsto \chi \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\chi(t) := \Psi(\rho e^{it})$  is well defined. The function  $\chi : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  parameterizes the interior boundary curve  $\Gamma_0$  and determining  $\chi$  solves the inverse transmission problem.

We denote by  $A_\rho : H^{1/2}[0, 2\pi] \times H^{1/2}[0, 2\pi] \rightarrow H^{-1/2}[0, 2\pi]$  the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator for the annulus  $B$  that maps function pairs  $(F_1, F_2)$  onto the normal derivative

$$(A_\rho(F_1, F_2))(t) := \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(e^{it}) - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}(e^{it}) dt, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi],$$

of the harmonic function  $v \in H^1(B)$  with boundary values on  $C_1$  and  $C_0$  given by

$$v(e^{it}) = F_1(t) \quad \text{and} \quad v(\rho e^{it}) = F_2(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, 2\pi].$$

In terms of this operator  $A$  from the Cauchy–Riemann equations for  $u$  and  $v = u \circ \Psi$  and their corresponding harmonic conjugates one can deduce the nonlocal differential equation

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \frac{A_\rho(f \circ \gamma \circ \varphi, u \circ N_\rho \varphi)}{g \circ \gamma \circ \varphi} \tag{2.6}$$

for the boundary map  $\varphi$ .

In order to eliminate the unknown trace of  $u$  on the interior boundary curve from (2.6) we introduce the double-layer operator  $K : H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\Gamma_0)$  by

$$(K\beta)(x) := 2 \int_{\Gamma_0} \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial \nu(y)} \beta(y) ds(y), \quad x \in \Gamma_0,$$

with the fundamental solution

$$\Phi(x, y) := \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{|x - y|}$$

to Laplace’s equation in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . In terms of the Cauchy data  $(f, g)$  we define the combined single- and double-layer potential

$$w(x) := \int_{\Gamma_1} \left\{ g(y)\Phi(x, y) - f(y) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial \nu(y)} \right\} ds(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Gamma_1. \tag{2.7}$$

Then, as a consequence of Green’s representation theorem, the trace  $\beta := u|_{\Gamma_0}$  is given as the unique solution to the integral equation

$$(1 + \mu)\beta + (1 - \mu)K\beta = 2\mu w|_{\Gamma_0}$$

where

$$\mu := \frac{\sigma_1}{\sigma_0}.$$

Via  $\eta := u \circ \chi$  we transform this into

$$(1 + \mu)\eta + (1 - \mu)H_\chi \eta = 2\mu w \circ \chi \tag{2.8}$$

with the parameterized double-layer operator  $H_\chi$  given by  $H_\chi(\beta \circ \chi) := (K\beta) \circ \chi$ . Since the double-layer operator is compact and has its spectrum contained in  $[-1, 1]$ , the operator on the left-hand side of (2.8) is bijective with bounded inverse

$$M_\chi := 2\mu[(1 + \mu)I + (1 - \mu)H_\chi]^{-1} : H^{1/2}[0, 2\pi] \rightarrow H^{1/2}[0, 2\pi].$$

Now we can write

$$u \circ N_\rho \varphi = M_{N_\rho \varphi}(w \circ N_\rho \varphi)$$

and, finally, the nonlocal differential equation for  $\varphi$  assumes the form

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \frac{A_\rho(f \circ \gamma \circ \varphi, M_{N_\rho \varphi}(w \circ N_\rho \varphi))}{g \circ \gamma \circ \varphi}. \tag{2.9}$$

The differential equation (2.9) has to be complemented by the boundary conditions  $\varphi(0) = 0$  and  $\varphi(2\pi) = 2\pi$ .

To avoid difficulties in solving (2.9) arising from zeros of the function  $g$  occurring in the denominator, two pairs of Cauchy data can be used. If  $(f_1, g_1)$  and  $(f_2, g_2)$  are two pairs of Cauchy data on  $\Gamma_1$ , then

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^2 (g_j \circ \gamma \circ \varphi) A_\rho(f_j \circ \gamma \circ \varphi, M_{N_\rho\varphi}(w_j \circ N_\rho\varphi))}{\sum_{j=1}^2 [g_j \circ \gamma \circ \varphi]^2}, \quad (2.10)$$

where  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  denote the combined single- and double-layer potential (2.7) associated with the real-valued Cauchy pairs  $(f_1, g_1)$  and  $(f_2, g_2)$ , respectively. To condense the notation, after introducing the complex valued functions

$$f = f_1 + if_2, \quad g = g_1 + ig_2 \quad \text{and} \quad w = w_1 + iw_2 \quad (2.11)$$

we rewrite (2.10) in the shorter form

$$\frac{d\varphi}{dt} = \frac{\Re[(\bar{g} \circ \gamma \circ \varphi) A_\rho(f \circ \gamma \circ \varphi, M_{N_\rho\varphi}(w \circ N_\rho\varphi))]}{|g \circ \gamma \circ \varphi|^2}.$$

After defining Fourier coefficients depending on the data  $(f, g)$  and on  $\varphi$  by setting

$$\begin{aligned} a_m(\varphi) &:= \int_0^{2\pi} f(\gamma(\varphi(t))) e^{-imt} dt, \\ b_m(\varphi) &:= \int_0^{2\pi} g(\gamma(\varphi(t))) \varphi'(t) e^{-imt} dt, \\ c_m(\varphi, \rho) &:= \int_0^{2\pi} (M_{N_\rho\varphi}(w \circ N_\rho\varphi))(t) e^{-imt} dt, \end{aligned}$$

a straightforward application of Green's integral theorem yields

$$[|m| a_m(\varphi) + b_m(\varphi)] \rho^{2|m|} + |m| a_m(\varphi) - b_m(\varphi) = 2|m| \rho^{|m|} c_m(\varphi, \rho). \quad (2.12)$$

By this equation the radius is given in terms of  $\varphi$  and the data  $(f, g)$ . Under appropriate assumptions, (2.12) can be solved iteratively via

$$\rho_{j+1} = \left| \frac{b_m(\varphi) - |m| a_m(\varphi) + 2|m| \rho_j^{|m|} c_m(\varphi, \rho_j)}{b_m(\varphi) + |m| a_m(\varphi)} \right|^{\frac{1}{2|m|}}. \quad (2.13)$$

Finally we define an operator  $V$  by setting  $(V\psi)(t) = t + \psi(t)$  and introduce the operator  $T : H_0^1[0, 2\pi] \rightarrow H_0^1[0, 2\pi]$  by

$$(T\psi)(t) := \int_0^t \left( U\psi - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} U\psi d\theta \right) d\tau, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi],$$

where

$$U\psi := \frac{\Re[(\bar{g} \circ \gamma \circ V\psi) A_\rho(V\psi)(f \circ \gamma \circ V\psi, M_{N_\rho(V\psi)}(w \circ N_\rho(V\psi)))]}{|g \circ \gamma \circ V\psi|^2},$$

and  $\rho(V\psi)$  indicates the solution of (2.12) for  $\varphi = V\psi$  and an appropriately chosen  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then we can summarize the above results into the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $(f, g)$  be a pair of Cauchy data of the form (2.11) for the transmission problem. Then, in terms of the holomorphic map  $\Psi : B \rightarrow D$  and its boundary values  $\varphi$  the function  $\psi = V^{-1}\varphi$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .*

Theorem 2.1 suggests the following iteration scheme: Given a current approximation  $\psi_0$ , we update it in two steps.

- (i) For an appropriate choice of  $m$  we solve (2.12) with the boundary map  $\varphi_0 = V\psi_0$  via iterations as indicated in (2.13) to obtain a radius  $\rho_0$ .
- (ii) In view of Theorem 2.1 we update the boundary map by  $\psi_1 = T(\psi_0)$  using the radius  $\rho_0$  and a regularized version of the operator  $N_\rho$  for the Cauchy problem.

Of course, the whole scheme then consists in repeating these two steps iteratively. For a convergence result on this iteration scheme for sufficiently small transmission coefficients  $\mu$  and numerical examples exhibiting the feasibility of the method we refer to [4].

### Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the German Ministry of Education and Research in the BMBF-project Regularization techniques for electrical impedance tomography in medical science and geosciences.

### References

- [1] Akduman I and Kress, R 2002 Electrostatic imaging via conformal mapping. *Inverse Problems* **18** 1659
- [2] Dambrine M and Kateb D 2007 Conformal mapping and inverse conductivity problem with one measurement. *ESAIM: Control, Optimisation and Calculus of Variations* **13** 163
- [3] Haddar H and Kress R 2005 Conformal mappings and inverse boundary value problems. *Inverse Problems* **21** 935
- [4] Haddar H and Kress R 2010 Conformal mapping and impedance tomography. *Inverse Problems* **26** 074002
- [5] Kress R 2004 Inverse Dirichlet problem and conformal mapping. *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation* **66** 255