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## Introduction

This paper is devoted to the birational classification of algebraic Gorenstein 3folds. Let $X$ be a minimal projective Gorenstein 3 -fold of general type with only locally factorial terminal singularities. According to [2], [5, 6], [9], [15], [16] and [20], we have the following theorem.

Theorem 0. Suppose $X$ is a minimal projective Gorenstein 3-fold of general type with only locally factorial terminal singularities. Then the following holds:
(i) the $m$-canonical map $\phi_{m}$ is a birational morphism for all $m \geq 6$.
(ii) $\phi_{5}$ is birational with possible exception for $K_{X}^{3}=2$ and $p_{g}(X) \leq 2 . \phi_{5}$ is a generically finite morphism. (No counter examples found yet to the birationality of $\phi_{5}$.)

Naturally one wants to know the behavior of $\phi_{m}(m \leq 4)$. We observed that some people have been studying the base point freeness of $\left|4 K_{X}\right|$. We are more curious about some birational properties of $\phi_{4}$. Our approach is different from theirs.

In order to make our statement simpler, let us first fix the terminologies. $X$ is refered to as $\phi_{4}$-standard if there exists a fibration $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow C$ onto a projective curve $C$, where $X^{\prime}$ is birationally equivalent to $X$ and the general fiber of $f$ is a smooth projective surface of general type with invariants $K^{2}=1$ and $p_{g}=2$. $X$ is called $\phi_{4}$-semi-standard if $X$ is fibred by curves of genus two, i.e. there is a fibration $g: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow W$ onto a normal projective surface $W$ where $X^{\prime}$ is birationally equivalent to $X$ and the general fiber of $g$ is a smooth projective curve of genus two. If $X$ is $\phi_{4}$-standard, one can easily see that $X$ is $\phi_{4}$-semi-standard by taking the relatively canonical map of $f$.

It is well known that the 4 -canonical map of a smooth projective surface of general type is birational if and only if $\left(K^{2}, p_{g}\right) \neq(1,2)$. This leads to the trivial fact that, if $X$ is $\phi_{4}$-standard, the 4 -canonical map $\phi_{4}$ of $X$ fails to be birational. A very natural question is whether the converse is true. In this paper, we would like to study this problem and to show that the converse is true under some reasonable

[^0]conditions. Another natural question is whether $\phi_{4}$ is always generically finite. If one can verify the base point freeness of $\left|4 K_{X}\right|$, then $\phi_{4}$ is automatically generically finite. We shall study in an alternative way giving a direct and elementary proof. Our results are as follows.

Theorem 1. Let $X$ be a minimal projective Gorenstein 3-fold of general type with only locally factorial terminal singularities. The following holds.
(i) Suppose $p_{g}(X) \geq 41$ and $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X) \neq 2$. Then $\phi_{4}$ is birational if and only if $X$ is not $\phi_{4}$-standard.
(ii) Suppose $p_{g}(X) \geq 41$ and $X$ is not $\phi_{4}$-semi-standard. Then $\phi_{4}$ is birational. (iii) $\phi_{4}$ is generically finite.

Throughout the ground field is assumed to be algebraically closed of characteristic 0 . For a $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor $D$ on a smooth variety $V$, we denote by $\ulcorner D\urcorner$ the round-up of $D$, which is the minimum integral divisor such that $\ulcorner D\urcorner-D \geq 0$. $\sim_{\text {lin }}$ means linear equivalence. $\sim_{\text {num }}$ means numerical equivalence.
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## 1. Proof of the main theorem

Definition 1.1. A normal variety $X$ is called Gorenstein if the dualizing sheaf $\omega_{X}$ is invertible and $X$ is Cohen-Macaulay.

We refer to [17] for the definitions of canonical, terminal singularities.
Let $X$ be a minimal projective Gorenstein 3 -fold of general type with only locally factorial terminal singularities. It is well known that $K_{X}^{3}$ is a positive even integer, $\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)<0$ and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{m}(X):=h^{0}\left(X, \mathcal{O}_{X}\left(m K_{X}\right)\right)=(2 m-1)\left[\frac{m(m-1)}{12} K_{X}^{3}-\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}\right)\right] \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $p_{g}(X) \geq 2$. We can define the canonical map $\phi_{1}$. Set

$$
K_{X} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} M_{1}+Z_{1}
$$

where $M_{1}$ is the movable part of $\left|K_{X}\right|$ and $Z_{1}$ the fixed one. Taking the birational modification $\pi: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$, according to Hironaka, such that
(1) $X^{\prime}$ is smooth;
(2) the movable part of $\left|\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|$ is base point free;
(3) $\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$ has supports with only normal crossings.

Denote by $g$ the composition $\phi_{1} \circ \pi$. So

$$
g: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow W^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{p_{g}(X)-1}
$$

is a morphism. Let

$$
g: X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f} W \xrightarrow{s} W^{\prime}
$$

be the Stein factorization of $g$. We can write

$$
\pi^{*}\left(M_{1}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} S_{1}+E_{1}
$$

where $S_{1}$ is the movable part. Then we have

$$
\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} S_{1}+E^{\prime}
$$

where $E^{\prime}=E_{1}+\pi^{*}\left(Z_{1}\right)$ is the fixed part of $\left|\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|$. We note that $1 \leq \operatorname{dim}(W) \leq$ 3. We shall formulate our proof according to $\operatorname{dim}(W)$.

Remark 1.2. Although [5], [6] and [16] only treated smooth minimal 3-folds, the method is still effective for Gorenstein minimal 3 -folds. In order to avoid unnecessary redundancy, we would like to cite several basic facts from there without giving the proof.

Theorem 1.3. Let $X$ be a minimal projective Gorenstein 3-fold of general type with only locally factorial terminal singularities. Suppose $p_{g}(X) \geq 5$. If $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X)=3$, then $\phi_{4}$ is birational.
Proof. It's obvious that a general member $S_{1}$ is a smooth projective surface of general type. Because $p_{g}(X)>0$, it is sufficient to verify the birationality for $\left.\phi_{4}\right|_{S_{1}}$ by virtue of the Tankeev principle. We consider the system

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S_{1}\right| .
$$

The vanishing theorem gives

$$
\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S_{1}\right|\right|_{S_{1}}=\left|K_{S_{1}}+2 L\right|
$$

where $L:=\left.\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S_{1}}$ is a nef and big divisor on $S_{1}$. If $|L|$ gives a birational map, then so does $\left|K_{S_{1}}+2 L\right|$. Otherwise, $|L|$ gives a generically finite map of degree $\geq 2$. Noting that $h^{0}\left(S_{1}, L\right) \geq p_{g}(X)-1 \geq 4$, we have $L^{2} \geq 2\left(h^{0}\left(S_{1}, L\right)-2\right) \geq 4$. If $\left|K_{S_{1}}+2 L\right|$ doesn't give a birational map, then there is a free pencil of curves on $S_{1}$ with a general irreducible element $C$ such that $2 L \cdot C \leq 2$ according to Reider's result ([18, Corollary 2]). The only possibility is $L \cdot C=1$. On the other hand, $L \cdot C \geq 2$ since $|L|$ gives a generically finite map on $C$ and $C$ is a curve of genus $\geq 2$. The contradiction shows that

$$
\Phi_{\left|K_{S_{1}}+2 L\right|}
$$

is birational. Therefore $\phi_{4}$ is birational.
Theorem 1.4. Let $X$ be a minimal projective Gorenstein 3-fold of general type with only locally factorial terminal singularities. Suppose $p_{g}(X) \geq 41$ and $X$ is not $\phi_{4}$-standard. If $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X)=1$, then $\phi_{4}$ is birational.
Proof. In this case, $W$ is a smooth projective curve. We have a fibration $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow$ $W$. Denote $b:=g(W)$. Let $F$ be a general fiber of $f$. Then $F$ is a smooth
projective surface of general type. In general position, $S_{1}$ can split into a sum of different fibers, i.e.

$$
S_{1} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} \sum_{i=1}^{a} F_{i}
$$

where $a \geq p_{g}(X)-1$. The vanishing theorem gives the surjective map

$$
H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+\sum F_{i}\right) \longrightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^{a} H^{0}\left(F_{i}, K_{F_{i}}+\left.2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{F_{i}}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

This means that $\phi_{4}$ can distinguish general different fbers of $f$. In order to prove the theorem, it is sufficient to verify the birationality of $\left.\phi_{4}\right|_{F}$ for a general fiber $F$. Denote $\bar{F}:=\pi(F)$. Then $M_{1} \sim_{\text {num }} a \bar{F}$. Noting that $\bar{F}^{2}$ is a quasi effective 1 -cycle on $X$, we have $K_{X} \cdot \bar{F}^{2} \geq 0$. Let $\sigma: F \longrightarrow F_{0}$ be the contraction onto the minimal model $F_{0}$ of $F$.

Suppose $K_{X} \cdot \bar{F}^{2}=0$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\left.\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{F}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{F}\left(\sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to [6, Lemma 2.3]. We have

$$
\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\text {num }} a F+E^{\prime}
$$

Thus

$$
\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)-F-\frac{1}{a} E^{\prime} \sim_{\text {num }}\left(1-\frac{1}{a}\right) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)
$$

is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor, since $a>1$ under the condition of the theorem. Denote

$$
G:=\left\ulcorner\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)-\frac{1}{a} E^{\prime}\right\urcorner .
$$

The Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+G\right|\right|_{F}=\left|K_{F}+2 L+G\right|_{F} \mid \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L:=\left.\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{F} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} \sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)$. We can see that

$$
\left.G\right|_{F}=\left.\left\ulcorner\left(1-\frac{1}{a}\right) E^{\prime}\right\urcorner\right|_{F}
$$

is an effective divisor. Because $X$ is not $\phi_{4}$-standard, $F$ can't be a surface with $\left(K_{F_{0}}^{2}, p_{g}(F)\right)=(1,2)$. If $\left(K_{F_{0}}^{2}, p_{g}(F)\right) \neq(2,3)$, then $\Phi_{\left|3 K_{F}\right|}$ is birational. Since

$$
\Phi_{\left|K_{F}+2 L\right|}=\Phi_{\left|3 K_{F}\right|},
$$

we see that $\left.\phi_{4}\right|_{F}$ is birational and so is $\phi_{4}$. If $\left(K_{F_{0}}^{2}, p_{g}(F)\right)=(2,3)$, we can show that

$$
\Phi_{\left|K_{F}+2 L+G\right|_{F} \mid}
$$

is birational. In fact, we have

$$
K_{F}+2 L+\left.G\right|_{F} \geq K_{F}+2 L+\left\ulcorner\left.\left(1-\frac{1}{a}\right) E^{\prime}\right|_{F}\right\urcorner,
$$

where

$$
\left.\left.\left(1-\frac{1}{a}\right) E^{\prime}\right|_{F} \sim_{\text {num }}\left(1-\frac{1}{a}\right) \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{F}
$$

is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. It is well known that $\left|\sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)\right|$ gives a generically finite map ([1]). For simplicity, we can suppose the movable part of $\left|\sigma^{*}\left(K_{F_{0}}\right)\right|$ is base point free and $C$ is a general member in the movable part of this system. It's sufficient to prove the birationality of

$$
\left.\Phi_{\left\lvert\, K_{F}+2 L+\left\ulcorner\left.\left(1-\frac{1}{a}\right) E^{\prime}\right|_{F}\right\urcorner\right.}\right|_{C} .
$$

We study the system

$$
\left|K_{F}+L+\left\ulcorner\left.\left(1-\frac{1}{a}\right) E^{\prime}\right|_{F}\right\urcorner+C\right| .
$$

The vanishing theorem gives

$$
\left.\left.\left|K_{F}+L+\left\ulcorner\left.\left(1-\frac{1}{a}\right) E^{\prime}\right|_{F}\right\urcorner+C\right|\right|_{C}=\left|K_{C}+L\right|_{C}+D \right\rvert\,,
$$

where $\operatorname{deg}\left(\left.L\right|_{C}\right) \geq 2$ and $D$ is a divisor of degree $>0$. Obviously, $\left|K_{C}+L\right|_{C}+D \mid$ gives an embedding. Thus $\left.\phi_{4}\right|_{F}$ is birational and so is $\phi_{4}$.

Suppose $K_{X} \cdot \bar{F}^{2}>0$. We want to show that $\left.\phi_{4}\right|_{F}$ is also birational. In this case, (1.2) doesn't hold. However, we still have (1.3). First we have to study $|2 L|$. We claim that $|2 L|$ gives a generically finite map whenever $p_{g}(X) \geq 41$. Suppose $M_{2}$ is the movable part of $\left|2 K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$. Then $M_{2} \leq 2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$. It's obvious that

$$
K_{X^{\prime}}+G \leq 2 K_{X^{\prime}}
$$

Denote by $M_{2}^{\prime}$ the movable part of $\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+G\right|$. Then $M_{2}^{\prime} \leq M_{2}$. The KawamataViehweg vanishing theorem gives the surjective map

$$
H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, K_{X^{\prime}}+G\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^{0}\left(F, K_{F}+\left.G\right|_{F}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

We also have a natural map

$$
H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, M_{2}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta} H^{0}\left(F,\left.M_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{F}\right) .
$$

When $p_{g}(X) \geq 41$, we have $p_{g}(F) \geq q(F) \geq 5$ by [7, Theorem 2(3)]. Thus $\left|K_{F}\right|$ can't be composed of a pencil of curves according to [22]. Denote by $H$ the movable part of $\left|K_{F}\right|$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{0}\left(F,\left.M_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{F}\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{im}(\beta) \\
= & \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} i m(\alpha)=h^{0}\left(F, K_{F}+\left.G\right|_{F}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Whereas, $\left.M_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{F} \leq K_{F}+\left.G\right|_{F}$. We see that $H \leq\left. M_{2}^{\prime}\right|_{F}$. Thus $\left|M_{2}\right|_{F} \mid$ is not composed of a pencil of curves and neither is $|2 L|$. We have $H \leq 2 L$. If $|H|$ already gives a birational map, so does $\left|K_{F}+2 L+G\right|_{F} \mid$. Otherwise,

$$
2 L \cdot H \geq H^{2} \geq 2\left(p_{g}(F)-2\right) \geq 6
$$

Thus $L \cdot H \geq 3$. For simplicity, we can suppose $|H|$ is base point free. This means that we can take $H$ be a smooth curve. Using the vanishing theorem again, we have

$$
\left.\left|K_{F}+\left\ulcorner\left.\left(1-\frac{1}{a}\right) E^{\prime}\right|_{F}\right\urcorner+H\right|\right|_{H}=\left|K_{H}+D_{0}\right|,
$$

where $D_{0}$ is a divisor on the curve $H$ with

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(D_{0}\right) \geq\left.\left(1-\frac{1}{a}\right) E^{\prime}\right|_{F} \cdot H=\left(1-\frac{1}{a}\right) L \cdot H>2 .
$$

So $K_{H}+D_{0}$ is very ample. Noting that

$$
K_{F}+\left\ulcorner\left.\left(1-\frac{1}{a}\right) E^{\prime}\right|_{F}\right\urcorner+H \leq K_{F}+\left.G\right|_{F}+2 L,
$$

we see that $\left.\Phi_{\left|K_{F}+G\right|_{F}+2 L \mid}\right|_{H}$ is birational and so is $\Phi_{\left|K_{F}+G\right|_{F}+2 L \mid}$. This shows that $\phi_{4}$ is birational.

Theorem 1.5. Let $X$ be a minimal projective Gorenstein 3-fold of general type with only locally factorial terminal singularities. Suppose $p_{g}(X) \geq 5$ and $X$ is not $\phi_{4}$-semi-standard. If $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X)=2$, then $\phi_{4}$ is birational.

Proof. In this case, we have a fibration $f: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow W$ onto a normal projective surface $W$. Let $C$ be a general fiber of $f$. Because $X$ is not $\phi_{4}$-semi-standard, $C$ is a smooth curve of genus $\geq 3$. We can see that

$$
\left.S_{1}\right|_{S_{1}} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} \sum_{i=1}^{a_{2}} C_{i} \sim_{\text {num }} a_{2} C
$$

where $a_{2} \geq p_{g}(X)-2 \geq 3$ and we take $C$ be a smooth fiber contained in $S_{1}$. Note that a general member $S_{1}$ is a smooth projective surface of general type. The vanishing theorem gives

$$
\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S_{1}\right|\right|_{S_{1}}=\left|K_{S_{1}}+2 L\right|,
$$

where $L:=\left.\pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S_{1}}$ is nef and big and

$$
h^{0}\left(S_{1}, L\right) \geq h^{0}\left(S_{1},\left.S_{1}\right|_{S_{1}}\right) \geq p_{g}(X)-1 .
$$

Suppose $|L|$ is not composed of a pencil of curves. If $|L|$ gives a birational map, so does $\left|K_{S_{1}}+2 L\right|$. Otherwise,

$$
L^{2} \geq 2\left(h^{0}\left(S_{1}, L\right)-2\right) \geq 4
$$

If $\left|K_{S_{1}}+2 L\right|$ doesn't give a birational map, according to Reider, there is a free pencil on $S_{1}$ with a general irreducible member $\bar{C}$ such that $2 L \cdot \bar{C} \leq 2$. This means $L \cdot \bar{C}=1$. This is impossible, because $|L|$ gives a finite map on $\bar{C}$ and $\bar{C}$ is a curve of genus $\geq 2$. Thus $\Phi_{\left|K_{S_{1}}+2 L\right|}$ is birational. So $\phi_{4}$ is birational.

Suppose $|L|$ is composed of a pencil of curves. Since

$$
L \geq\left. S_{1}\right|_{S_{1}},
$$

we can see that a generic irreducible element of the movable part of $|L|$ is a smooth fiber $C$ contained in $S_{1}$. We have

$$
L^{2} \geq\left. L \cdot S_{1}\right|_{S_{1}} \geq a_{2} \geq 3
$$

If $\left|K_{S_{1}}+2 L\right|$ doesn't give a birational map, according to Reider, there is a free pencil on $S_{1}$ with a general irreducible element $\bar{C}$ such that $2 L \cdot \bar{C} \leq 2$. The only possibility is $L \cdot \bar{C}=1$. Obviously, $\bar{C}$ should be algebraically equivalent to $C$. Otherwise, $\operatorname{dim} \Phi_{|L|}(\bar{C})=1$. Which means $L \cdot \bar{C} \geq 2$, since $\bar{C}$ is a curve of genus $\geq 2$. Therefore we have seen that $\bar{C}$ is actually a fiber of $f$. So we should have $L \cdot C=1$. We want to derive a contradiction by proving that $L \cdot C \geq 2$. We can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & \left.\sim_{\operatorname{lin}} S_{1}\right|_{S_{1}}+J \\
& \sim_{\text {num }} a_{2} C+J,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $J$ is an effective divisor on $S_{1}$ and $C$ is contained in $S_{1}$. So

$$
L-C-\frac{1}{a_{2}} J \sim_{\text {num }}\left(1-\frac{1}{a_{2}}\right) L
$$

is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. Considering the system

$$
\left|K_{S_{1}}+L+\left\ulcorner L-\frac{1}{a_{2}} J\right\urcorner\right|,
$$

we get from the Kawamata-Viehweg vanishing theorem that

$$
\left.\left.\left|K_{S_{1}}+L+\left\ulcorner L-\frac{1}{a_{2}} J\right\urcorner\right|\right|_{C}=\left|K_{C}+L\right|_{C}+\left.\left\ulcorner L-\frac{1}{a_{2}} J\right\urcorner\right|_{C} \right\rvert\, .
$$

We shall use a parallel analysis to the one in the proof of Theorem 1.4. Denote by $M_{4}$ the movable part of $\left|4 K_{X^{\prime}}\right|$. Then $M_{4} \leq 4 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)$. Denote by $M_{4}^{\prime}$ the movable part of

$$
\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S_{1}\right| .
$$

Then $M_{4}^{\prime} \leq M_{4}$. Denote by $N$ the movable part of $\left|K_{S_{1}}+2 L\right|$. We have the exact sequence

$$
H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, K_{X^{\prime}}+2 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{1}} H^{0}\left(S_{1}, K_{S_{1}}+2 L\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

and the natural map

$$
H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, M_{4}^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\beta_{1}} H^{0}\left(S_{1},\left.M_{4}^{\prime}\right|_{S_{1}}\right) .
$$

Since $\left.M_{4}^{\prime}\right|_{S_{1}} \leq K_{S_{1}}+2 L$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{0}\left(S_{1},\left.M_{4}^{\prime}\right|_{S_{1}}\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} i m\left(\beta_{1}\right) \\
= & \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} i m\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=h^{0}\left(S_{1}, K_{S_{1}}+2 L\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that $M_{4}^{\prime} \mid S_{1} \geq N$. Denote by $N^{\prime}$ the movable part of

$$
\left|K_{S_{1}}+L+\left\ulcorner L-\frac{1}{a_{2}} J\right\urcorner\right| .
$$

Then $N \geq N^{\prime}$. We have the surjective map

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H^{0}\left(S_{1}, K_{S_{1}}+L+\left\ulcorner L-\frac{1}{a_{2}} J\right\urcorner\right) \xrightarrow{\rho} \\
& H^{0}\left(C, K_{C}+\left.L\right|_{C}+\left.\left\ulcorner L-\frac{1}{a_{2}} J\right\urcorner\right|_{C}\right) \longrightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

and the natural map

$$
H^{0}\left(S_{1}, N^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\psi} H^{0}\left(C,\left.N^{\prime}\right|_{C}\right) .
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h^{0}\left(C,\left.N^{\prime}\right|_{C}\right) \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} i m(\psi)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} i m(\rho) \\
= & h^{0}\left(K_{C}+\left.L\right|_{C}+\left.\left\ulcorner L-\frac{1}{a_{2}} J\right\urcorner\right|_{C}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left(L-\frac{1}{a_{2}} J\right) \cdot C=\left(1-\frac{1}{a_{2}}\right) L \cdot C>0,
$$

we see that

$$
h^{0}\left(K_{C}+\left.L\right|_{C}+\left.\left\ulcorner L-\frac{1}{a_{2}} J\right\urcorner\right|_{C}\right) \geq g(C)+1 .
$$

Thus $h^{0}\left(C,\left.N^{\prime}\right|_{C}\right) \geq g(C)+1$. The R-R on $C$ shows at once that $N^{\prime} \cdot C \geq 2 g(C) \geq 6$, because $g(C) \geq 3$. Thus we have

$$
\left.4 \pi^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S_{1}} \cdot C \geq N^{\prime} \cdot C \geq 6
$$

We get $L \cdot C \geq 2$, a contradiction. Thus $\left|K_{S_{1}}+2 L\right|$ gives a birational map and so $\phi_{4}$ is birational.

Theorems 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5 directly imply (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.

Theorem 1.6. Let $X$ be a minimal projective Gorenstein 3-fold of general type with only locally factorial terminal singularities. Then $\phi_{4}$ is generically finite.

Proof. Since we are treating the general case without any assumption on $p_{g}(X)$, we can't consider the canonical map. However we have $p_{2}(X) \geq 4$ according to (1.1). So we can study $\phi_{2}$.

Set

$$
2 K_{X} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} M_{2}+Z_{2},
$$

where $M_{2}$ is the movable part of $\left|2 K_{X}\right|$ and $Z_{2}$ the fixed one. Taking the birational modification $\pi_{2}: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow X$, according to Hironaka, such that
(1) $X^{\prime}$ is smooth;
(2) the movable part of $\left|2 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|$ is base point free;
(3) both $\pi_{2}^{*}\left(2 K_{X}\right)$ and $\pi_{2}^{*}\left(4 K_{X}\right)$ have supports with only normal crossings.

Denote by $g_{2}$ the composition $\phi_{2} \circ \pi_{2}$. So

$$
g_{2}: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow W_{2}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^{P_{2}(X)-1}
$$

is a morphism. Let

$$
g_{2}: X^{\prime} \xrightarrow{f_{2}} W_{2} \xrightarrow{s_{2}} W_{2}^{\prime}
$$

be the Stein factorization of $g_{2}$. We can write

$$
\pi_{2}^{*}\left(M_{2}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} S_{2}+E_{2},
$$

where $S_{2}$ is the movable part. Then we have

$$
\pi_{2}^{*}\left(2 K_{X}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} S_{2}+E_{2}^{\prime}
$$

where $E_{2}^{\prime}=E_{2}+\pi_{2}^{*}\left(Z_{2}\right)$ is the fixed part of $\left|\pi_{2}^{*}\left(2 K_{X}\right)\right|$. We only have to consider the case when $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{2}(X)<3$.

Suppose $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{2}(X)=1$. We have a fibration $f_{2}: X^{\prime} \longrightarrow W_{2}$ onto a smooth curve $W_{2}$. A general fiber $F$ of $f_{2}$ is a smooth projective surface of general type. Because $2 K_{X^{\prime}} \leq 4 K_{X^{\prime}}, \phi_{4}$ can distinguish different fibers of $g_{2}$. In order to prove the generic finiteness of $\phi_{4}$, it is sufficient to show that $\left.\phi_{4}\right|_{F}$ is generically finite for a general fiber of $f_{2}$ since $s_{2}$ is a finite map. We can write

$$
S_{2} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} \sum_{i=1}^{a_{2}} F_{i}
$$

where $a_{2} \geq P_{2}(X)-1$ and the $F_{i}^{\prime} s$ are fibers of $f_{2}$. The vanishing theorem gives

$$
\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\pi_{2}^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S_{2}\right|\right|_{S_{2}}=\left|K_{S_{2}}+L_{2}\right|,
$$

where $L_{2}:=\left.\pi_{2}^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S_{2}}$. According to [16, Claim 9.1], we have

$$
\mathcal{O}_{S_{2}}\left(\left.\pi_{2}^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S_{2}}\right) \cong \mathcal{O}_{S_{2}}\left(\sigma_{2}^{*}\left(K_{S_{0}}\right)\right)
$$

where $\sigma_{2}: S_{2} \longrightarrow S_{0}$ is the contraction onto the minimal model $S_{0}$ of $S_{2}$. Thus

$$
K_{S_{2}}+L_{2} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} K_{S_{2}}+\sigma_{2}^{*}\left(K_{S_{0}}\right)
$$

and so

$$
\Phi_{\left|K_{S_{2}}+L_{2}\right|}=\Phi_{\left|2 K_{S_{2}}\right|} .
$$

From Theorem 3.1 of [6], we know that $S_{2}$ can't be a surface with $p_{g}=q=0$. By [21, Theorem 1], $\Phi_{\left|2 K_{S_{2}}\right|}$ is generically finite. Thus $\phi_{4}$ is generically finite.

Suppose $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{2}(X)=2$. We want to derive a contradiction assuming that $\phi_{4}$ is not generically finite. We consider the following two natural maps

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, 4 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{4}} \Lambda_{4} \subseteq H^{0}\left(S_{2}, 4 L\right)  \tag{1.4}\\
& H^{0}\left(X^{\prime}, 2 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{2}} \Lambda_{2} \subseteq H^{0}\left(S_{2}, 2 L\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Lambda_{i}$ is the image of $\alpha_{i}$ for $i=2$, 4. By our assumption, $\Lambda_{4}$ should be composed of a pencil of curves on the surface $S_{2}$. On the other hand, it's obvious that $\Lambda_{2} \subseteq \Lambda_{4}$ and

$$
\Lambda_{2}=\left|S_{2}\right|_{S_{2}} \mid
$$

Noting that $\left|S_{2}\right|_{S_{2}} \mid$ is a free pencil, we can see that, in this situation, the movable part of $\Lambda_{4}$ is also base point free and that both $\Lambda_{2}$ and $\Lambda_{4}$ have the same generic irreducible element. Because the movable part of $\Lambda_{4}$ is base point free, there is a divisor $H_{4}$ (movable part of $\Lambda_{4}$ ) in $S_{2}$ such that $\left|H_{4}\right| \subset \Lambda_{4}$ and

$$
h^{0}\left(S_{2}, H_{4}\right)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_{4}
$$

(One should note that $\Lambda_{4}$ is not a complete linear system in general.) We can write

$$
\left.S_{2}\right|_{S_{2}} \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} \sum_{i=1}^{b_{2}} C_{i} \sim_{\text {num }} b_{2} C,
$$

where $b_{2} \geq P_{2}(X)-2$, the $C_{i}^{\prime} s$ are fibers of $f_{2}$ and $C$ is a smooth fiber of $f_{2}$ contained in $S_{2}$. Then we have $H_{4} \sim_{\text {num }} b_{4} C$, where $b_{4} \geq \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_{4}-1$ and we think of $\Lambda_{4}$ as a $\mathbb{C}$-vector space. The vanishing theorem gives that

$$
\left.\left|K_{X^{\prime}}+\pi_{2}^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)+S_{2}\right|\right|_{S_{2}}=\left|K_{S_{2}}+L_{2}\right|,
$$

where $L_{2}:=\left.\pi_{2}^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)\right|_{S_{2}}$. It is obvious that

$$
K_{S_{2}}+L_{2} \geq 2 L_{2} \geq\left. S_{2}\right|_{S_{2}}
$$

This means that $\Phi_{\left|K_{S_{2}}+L_{2}\right|}$ can distinguish different fibers of $\Phi_{\Lambda_{2}}$. For a generic $C$ contained in $S_{2}$, we want to study $\left.\Phi_{\left|K_{S_{2}}+L_{2}\right|}\right|_{C}$ in order to derive a contradiction.

If $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_{4} \geq 6$, i.e. $h^{0}\left(S_{2}, H_{4}\right) \geq 6$, then we can see that $b_{4} \geq 5$. Noting that $H_{4} \leq 4 L_{2}$, we have

$$
4 L_{2} \sim_{\text {num }} b_{4} C+Z_{4},
$$

where $Z_{4}$ is an effective divisor. Thus

$$
L_{2} \sim_{\mathrm{num}} \frac{b_{4}}{4} C+\frac{1}{4} Z_{4}
$$

and

$$
L_{2}-C-\frac{1}{b_{4}} Z_{4} \sim_{\text {num }}\left(1-\frac{4}{b_{4}}\right) L_{2}
$$

is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor on $S_{2}$. Thus the vanishing theorem yields

$$
\left.\left|K_{S_{2}}+\left\ulcorner L_{2}-\frac{1}{b_{4}} Z_{4}\right\urcorner\right|\right|_{C}=\left|K_{C}+D\right|,
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{deg}(D) \geq\left(L_{2}-\frac{1}{b_{4}} Z_{4}\right) \cdot C=\left(1-\frac{4}{b_{4}}\right) L_{2} \cdot C>0 .
$$

So $\left|K_{C}+D\right|$ gives a finite map on $C$. Noting that

$$
K_{S_{2}}+\left\ulcorner L_{2}-\frac{1}{b_{4}} Z_{4}\right\urcorner \leq K_{S_{2}}+L_{2},
$$

we see that $\left.\Phi_{\left|K_{S_{2}}+L_{2}\right|}\right|_{C}$ is finite and so $\Phi_{\left|K_{S_{2}}+L_{2}\right|}$ is generically finite. This means $\phi_{4}$ is generically finite, a contradiction.

If $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \Lambda_{4} \leq 5$, because $P_{4}(X) \geq 21$ by (1.1), we see from the map (1.4) that

$$
\left|4 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(K_{X}\right)-4 S_{2}\right| \neq \varnothing
$$

So we can write

$$
4 \pi_{2}^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} 4 S_{2}+G_{4},
$$

where $G_{4}$ is an effective divisor. Thus

$$
\begin{gathered}
\pi_{2}^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\text {num }} S_{2}+\frac{1}{4} G_{4} \\
L_{2}=\left.\pi_{2}^{*}\left(K_{X}\right) \sim_{\text {num }} S_{2}\right|_{S_{2}}+\left.\frac{1}{4} G_{4}\right|_{S_{2}} \\
\sim_{\text {num }} b_{2} C+\left.\frac{1}{4} G_{4}\right|_{S_{2}},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $b_{2} \geq P_{2}(X)-2 \geq 2$. We have that

$$
L_{2}-C-\left.\frac{1}{4 b_{2}} G_{4}\right|_{S_{2}} \sim_{\text {num }}\left(1-\frac{1}{b_{2}}\right) L_{2}
$$

is a nef and big $\mathbb{Q}$-divisor. The vanishing theorem gives

$$
\left.\left|K_{S_{2}}+\left\ulcorner L_{2}-\left.\frac{1}{4 b_{2}} G_{4}\right|_{S_{2}}\right\urcorner\right|\right|_{C}=\left|K_{C}+D^{\prime}\right|,
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{deg}\left(D^{\prime}\right) \geq\left(L_{2}-\left.\frac{1}{4 b_{2}} G_{4}\right|_{S_{2}}\right) \cdot C=\left(1-\frac{1}{b_{2}}\right) L_{2} \cdot C>0 .
$$

This means that $\left|K_{C}+D^{\prime}\right|$ gives a finite map on $C$. Noting that

$$
\left|K_{S_{2}}+\left\ulcorner\left. L_{2}-\frac{1}{4 b_{2}} G_{4} \right\rvert\, S_{2}\right\urcorner\right| \subseteq\left|K_{S_{2}}+L_{2}\right|,
$$

we see that $\left|K_{S_{2}}+L_{2}\right|$ gives a generically finite map and so that $\phi_{4}$ is also generically finite, a contradiction.

In a word, $\phi_{4}$ is generically finite.
Example 1.7. The assumption $p_{g}(X) \geq 5$ is sharp in Theorem 1.2. There is a trivial example with $p_{g}(X)=4$ and $K_{X}^{3}=2$ on which $\operatorname{dim} \phi_{1}(X)=3$ and $\phi_{4}$ is a finite map of degree 2 . On $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^{3}$, take a smooth hypersurface $S$ of degree 10 . $S \sim_{\operatorname{lin}} 10 H$. Let $X$ be a double cover over $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ with branch locus along $S$. Then $X$ is a nonsingular canonical model, $K_{X}^{3}=2$ and $p_{g}(X)=4$ and $\phi_{1}$ is a finite morphism onto $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree 2 . One can easily check that $\phi_{4}$ is also a finite morphism of degree 2.
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