Dalton Transactions

Cite this: Dalton Trans., 2012, 41, 1529

PAPER

Double N–H bond activation of N,N'-bis-substituted hydrazines with stable N-heterocyclic silylene $\ensuremath{\dagger}$

Ramachandran Azhakar, Rajendra S. Ghadwal, Herbert W. Roesky,* Jakob Hey and Dietmar Stalke*

Received 8th September 2011, Accepted 24th October 2011 DOI: 10.1039/c1dt11708d

The reaction of N-heterocyclic silylene (NHSi) **L** [**L** = CH{($C=CH_2$)(CMe)(2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃N)₂}Si] with benzoylhydrazine, 1,2-diacetylhydrazine, 1,2-diacetylhydrazine and 1,2-bis(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)hydrazine in 1 : 1 molar ratio resulted in compounds **1–4** with an almost quantitative yield and five coordinate silicon atoms. Compounds **1–4** were formed by double N–H bond activation by deliberate selection of N,N'-bis-substituted hydrazine compounds bearing the –C(O)NHNH– unit. Compounds **1–4** were characterized by NMR spectroscopy, EI-MS and elemental analysis. The molecular structures of compounds **1–3** were unambiguously established by single crystal X-ray structural analysis.

Introduction

N-H bond activation has been the subject of many studies because of the prevalence of nitrogen-containing compounds for pharmaceutical and other fine chemicals.¹ Moreover, the activation of N-H bonds is less studied compared to the activation of C-H² or O-H³ bonds. Finally the double N-H bond activation of hydrazine based molecules is still rare.⁴ Recently Hartwig et al. reported double N-H bond activation by an iridium(I) complex.⁴ Previously we published studies on the N-H bond activation of ammonia⁵ and hydrazines,^{6,7} and Driess et al. outlined the activation for organoamines^{1g} by utilizing the stable N-heterocyclic silylene (NHSi) L [L = CH{(C=CH₂)(CMe)(2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃N)₂}Si]. In the case of ammonia, hydrazine and N-methyl hydrazine we observed only a single N-H bond activation. However, in the reaction of L with 1,2-diphenylhydrazine, a spirocyclic product formed with the elimination of molecular hydrogen. Formation of this product occurred by the activation of one N-H and one C(aromatic)-H bond instead of another N-H bond, which is attributed to the steric strain within the ring.⁷ With this in mind, we selected the N,N'-bis-substituted hydrazine molecules, benzoylhydrazine, 1,2-dicarbethoxyhydrazine, 1,2-diacetylhydrazine and 1,2-bis(tertbutoxycarbonyl)hydrazine bearing the -C(O)NHNH- unit, that would favor the double N-H bond cleavage. The choice of the above mentioned substituted hydrazines is based on the fact that the presence of the -NHNH- unit leads to activation of one of the N-H bonds and the presence of the carbonyl group with the -C(O)NHNH- unit ultimately favors the formation of a fivemembered ring with L leading to the spirocyclic compound and

Published on 08 December 2011. Downloaded by University of Goettingen on 19/06/2014 14:52:21

the activation of the other N–H bond. The reaction of the four hydrazine derivatives with L proceeds as expected, *i.e.* with the activation of two N–H bonds. Herein, we report on the double N–H bond activation of N,N'-bis-substituted hydrazines by NHSi (L) leading to compounds 1–4 with five coordinate silicon atoms.

Results and discussion

Reaction of L with benzoylhydrazine, 1,2-dicarbethoxyhydrazine, 1,2-diacetylhydrazine and 1,2-bis(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)hydrazine in 1:1 molar ratios resulted in the formation of compounds 1–4 with five coordinate silicon atoms in quantitative yield (Scheme 1) and the proposed mechanism is given in Scheme 2. In all the cases, N,N'-bis-substituted hydrazines have been converted to hydrazone derivatives. The formation of compounds 1–4 was supported by NMR spectroscopy, EI-MS and elemental analysis. The molecular structures of compounds 1–3 were unequivocally confirmed by single crystal X-ray structural analysis.



Scheme 1 Double N–H bond activation of benzoylhydrazine, 1,2-dicarbethoxyhydrazine, 1,2-diacetylhydrazine and 1,2-bis(*tert*-butoxycarbonyl)hydrazine by L to form compounds **1–4**.

Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Universität Göttingen, Tammannstrasse 4, 37077, Göttingen, Germany. E-mail: hroesky@gwdg.de, dstalke@chemie.uni-goettingen.de

CCDC reference numbers 817514, 817515 and 843520 for compounds 1,
 and 3. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c1dt11708d



Scheme 2 Proposed mechanism for the formation of compounds 1–4.

Compounds 1-4 are soluble in n-hexane, n-pentane, toluene and benzene. They are stable in the solid state as well as in solution without any decomposition under an inert gas atmosphere. The ²⁹Si NMR spectra of 1-4 each exhibit a single resonance between δ –110.92 and –103.75, which are upfield shifted compared to L (δ 88.4). This is due to the higher coordination of the silicon atoms in 1-4. The resonances are upfield shifted compared to those of the other species with four coordinate silicon,⁵⁻⁸ but they are in agreement with those formed for other five coordinate silicon compounds obtained from L.9 A further proton resonance of NCCH₃ in the region of δ 1.45 to 1.49 for six hydrogen atoms and the absence of a signal for the protons in NCC H_2 of 1–4, indicates the protonation of the methylene group in the ligand backbone of L. The Si-H proton in the ¹H NMR spectra of 1-4 shows a single resonance in the δ 6.36 to 6.47 region, which was corroborated by a 1H-29Si HSQC (heteronuclear single-quantum correlation) experiment. In 1 the resonances for both γ -CH and N–H protons in the ¹H NMR spectrum are observed at δ 4.93. The ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC experiment shows strong coupling between the resonance at δ 4.93 and a ¹⁵N resonance, indicating that the proton is nitrogen bound. In 2–4 the resonances for γ -CH are observed as a single resonance each in the region at δ 4.88 to 4.92. Compounds 1-4 exhibit their molecular ion in the mass spectra at m/z 580, 620, 560 and 676.

Molecular structure of 1

Compound 1 forms as yellow crystals in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/n$ (Table 1), and the molecular structure is shown in Fig. 1. The silicon atom is five coordinate and features a distorted trigonal bipyramidal geometry (TBP), made up from three nitrogen atoms, a single oxygen atom and a hydrogen atom. The three nitrogen atoms arranged at the silicon atom originate from the hydrazone moiety and from the β -diketiminate ligand.



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of 1.0.52 hexane with anisotropic displacement parameters depicted at the 50% probability level. The solvent hexane molecule, the methyl carbons of isopropyl groups and all of the hydrogen atoms except at Si1 and N3 are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°): Si1–N1 1.9437(12), Si1–N2 1.8371(11), Si1–N3 1.7391(12), Si1–O1 1.7667(10), Si1–H1S 1.376(15), N3–N4 1.4021(16), N4–C31 1.2873(17), C31–O1 1.3395(16); N1–Si1–N2 91.74(5), N3–Si1–O1 83.70(5), N2–Si1–N3 128.58(6), N1–Si1–O1 172.69(5), N3–Si1–H1S 126.5(6), O1–Si1–H1S 94.6(6), N2–Si1–H1S 104.9(6), N1–Si1–H1S 91.3(6).

The structural index τ which defines the extent of deviation from trigonal bipyramidal to square pyramidal geometry (τ = 1 for perfect trigonal bipyramidal; $\tau = 0$ for perfect square based pyramid¹⁰) is 0.74 indicating small deviation from the regular TBP geometry. The overall geometrical features of 1 correspond to those determined earlier.¹¹ The sum of angles of the equatorial atoms around Si1 equals 360.0°. The silicon atom is shifted out of the plane defined by N1, C1, C2, C3 and N2 significantly by 0.7116(15) Å. There are two types of Si-N bonds present in 1, one is shorter (N2–Si1: 1.8371(11) Å) and the other one is longer (N1–Si1: 1.9437(12) Å). There is an appreciable change in the N1– Si1–N2 bite angle of 1 (128.58(6) $^{\circ}$), when compared with that of L (99.317(54)°). These changes are attributed to the protonation of the methylene group at the backbone of the β -diketiminate ligand. The Si1–N3 bond length is 1.7391(12) Å indicating a single bond character.12 The Si1-O1 bond length is 1.7667(10) Å, which is comparable to the Si-O single bond distances observed in five coordinate silicon complexes.^{13a} The position of the silicon bound hydrogen atom was taken from the Fourier difference map and was refined freely. The Si-H distance of 1.376(15) Å is considerably shorter than the related distance in the structurally characterized silicon(II) monohydride of 1.47342(11) Å determined from charge density investigations.13b The higher coordination around Si1 and the higher formal oxidation state of Sil in 1 indicates much more covalent bonding when compared with the latter where the Si-H bond is highly polar. The N3–N4 bond length is 1.4021(16) Å, indicating a N-N single bond of hydrazine or of a hydrazone derivative as reported in literature.¹⁴ The C31-N4 distance of 1.2873(17) Å compares well with a C=N double bond¹⁴ and the C31–O1 bond length of 1.3395(16) Å with a single bond.¹⁵

Molecular structure of 2

Compound 2 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group $P2_1/c$ and the molecular structure is shown in Fig. 2. Similar to 1, the

Parameters	1.0.52 <i>n</i> -hexane	2	3
Empirical formula	$C_{39,12}H_{55,24}N_4OSi$	$C_{35}H_{52}N_4O_4Si$	$C_{33}H_{48}N_4O_2Si$
Formula weight	625.65	620.90	560.84
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic	Orthorhombic
Space group	$P2_1/n$	$P2_1/c$	$P2_{1}2_{1}2_{1}$
Unit cell dimensions	a = 11.4828(8) Å	a = 13.2681(14) Å	a = 13.816(2) Å
	b = 12.0258(9) Å	b = 12.0341(12) Å	b = 14.387(2) Å
	c = 26.1609(19) Å	c = 21.837(2) Å	c = 15.751(3) Å
	$\beta = 94.746(2)^{\circ}$	$\beta = 94.780(5)^{\circ}$	
Volume, Z	3600.2(5) Å ³ , 4	3476.6(6) Å ³ , 4	3130.8(9) Å ³ , 4
Density (calcd)	1.154 g cm^{-3}	1.187 g cm^{-3}	1.190 g cm^{-3}
Absorption coefficient	0.101 mm^{-1}	0.065 mm^{-1}	0.110 mm^{-1}
F (000)	1360	1344	1216
Crystal size	$0.22 \times 0.16 \times 0.12 \text{ mm}^3$	$0.18 \times 0.14 \times 0.11 \text{ mm}^3$	$0.1 \times 0.05 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}^3$
θ range for data collection	1.56 to 26.11°	1.22 to 21.06°	1.92 to 27.13°
Limiting indices	$-14 \le h \le 14, -14 \le k \le 14,$	$-16 \le h \le 16, -15 \le k \le 15,$	$-14 \le h \le 17, -18 \le k \le 18,$
	$-32 \le l \le 32$	$-27 \le l \le 27$	$-20 \le l \le 18$
Reflections collected	57531	97129	26322
Independent reflections	7146 ($R_{\rm int} = 0.0368$)	7620 ($R_{\rm int} = 0.0576$)	$6906 (R_{int} = 0.0778)$
Completeness to θ	$99.8\% (\theta = 26.11)$	99.7% ($\theta = 21.07$)	99.8% ($\theta = 27.13$)
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2	Full-matrix least-squares on F^2
Data/restraints/parameters	7146/2/434	7620/4/440	6906/2/379
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.042	1.099	1.023
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	R1 = 0.0367, wR2 = 0.0908	R1 = 0.0456, wR2 = 0.1119	R1 = 0.0393, wR2 = 0.0873
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0452, wR2 = 0.0955	R1 = 0.0600, wR2 = 0.1181	R1 = 0.0461, wR2 = 0.0914
Absolute structure parameter ²¹			0.04(8)
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.306 and -0.363 e Å ⁻³	0.337 and –0.334 e Å ⁻³	0.324 and -0.258 e Å ⁻³

Table 1 Crystal and structure refinement parameters for compounds 1, 2 and 3



Fig. 2 Molecular structure of **2** with anisotropic displacement parameters depicted at the 50% probability level. All hydrogen atoms except at Si1, the methyl carbons of isopropyl groups and one carbon atom of the ethyl groups are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°): Si1–O1 1.7205(12), Si1–N3 1.8078(14), Si1–N2 1.8405(14), Si1–N4 1.9272(14), Si1–H1S 1.371(19), N1–N2 1.4275(19), N1–C1 1.272(2), C1–O1 1.340(2); O1–Si1–N3 108.85(6), O1–Si1–N2 83.74(6), N3–Si1–N2 100.83(6), O1–Si1–N4 85.23(6), N3–Si1–N4 94.72(6), N2–Si1–N4 163.19(6), O1–Si1–H1S 135.7(8), N3–Si1–H1S 115.4(8), N2–Si1–H1S 90.7(8), N4–Si1–H1S 88.4(8).

silicon atom in **2** is five coordinate comprising three nitrogen atoms (two from the β -diketiminate ligand and one from the hydrazone moiety), one oxygen atom and one hydrogen atom. The structural index τ is 0.46. This is caused by the axial substituents N4 and N2 that have an angle of 163.19(6)° with Si1. The sum of angles between the equatorial ligands around Si1 is 360.0°. As in **1**, there are two types of Si–N bonds observed from the supporting ligand. One (equatorial) is 1.8078(14) Å and the other one is (axial) 1.9272(14) Å. The silicon atom is shifted out of the N₂C₃-plane of the SiN₂C₃ six-membered ring by 0.4862(18) Å. Again, the position of the silicon bound hydrogen atom was taken from the Fourier difference map and refined freely. The Si– H distance of 1.371(19) Å in **2** is very similar to that determined in **1**.^{13c} The N3–Si1–N4 bite angle at the silicon atom of the β diketiminate ligand is 94.72(6)°. The N–N [N1–N2 1.4275(19) Å] and C–O [C1–O1 1.340(2) Å] bond lengths present in the newly formed five-membered ring of **2** are quite comparable to those in similar compounds reported in the literature.¹⁵ The distance between C1–N1 is 1.272(2) Å indicating C==N double bond character.¹⁴

Molecular structure of 3

Compound 3 crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$ and the molecular structure is given in Fig. 3. Like 1 and 2, the silicon atom in 3 is five coordinate comprising three nitrogen atoms, one oxygen atom and one hydrogen atom. The bond lengths of Si–N(equatorial) and the Si–N(axial) are 1.8059(14) Å and 1.9250(14) Å. The structural index τ is 0.53. The silicon atom is shifted out of the N₂C₃–plane of the SiN₂C₃ six-membered ring by 0.4478(18) Å. The Si–H distance of 1.476(13) Å, was taken from the Fourier difference map and refined freely. The N–Si–N bite angle at the silicon atom with the backbone ligand is 93.94(6)°. The N–N [N3–N4 1.4107(19) Å] and C–O [C30–O1 1.365(2) Å] bond lengths present in the newly formed five-membered ring of 3 are quite comparable to those in similar compounds reported in the literature.¹⁵ The distance between C30–N4 is 1.274(2) Å.

In all reported reactions, the SiN_2CO five-membered ring has been formed. The dihedral angles between the planes of the sixand five-membered rings are $34.31(6)^\circ$, $83.21(6)^\circ$ and $79.93(5)^\circ$, in compounds **1**, **2** and **3**, respectively. A hydrogen atom has been transferred to the silicon atom in all cases.



Fig. 3 Molecular structure of **3** with anisotropic displacement parameters depicted at the 50% probability level. All hydrogen atoms except at Si3 and the methyl carbons of isopropyl groups are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles (°): Si3–O1 1.7109(12), Si3–N2 1.8059(14), Si3–N3 1.8573(14), Si3–N1 1.9250(14), Si1–H3S 1.476(13), N3–N4 1.4107(19), N4–C30 1.274(2), C30–O1 1.365(2); O1–Si3–N2 108.26(6), O1–Si3–N3 84.03(6), N3–Si3–N2 99.58(6), O1–Si3–N1 87.00(6), N2–Si3–N1 93.94(6), N3–Si3–N1 165.58(6), O1–Si3–H3S 133.6(6), N2–Si3–H3S 118.1(6), N3–Si3–H3S 90.6(7), N1–Si3–H3S 87.4(7).

Experimental section

All manipulations were carried out in an inert atmosphere of dinitrogen gas using standard Schlenk line techniques and in a dinitrogen gas filled glove box. Solvents were purified by an MBRAUN solvent purification system MB SPS-800. All chemicals were purchased from Aldrich, Alfa Aeser, or ABCR and used without further purification. L was prepared as reported in literature.¹⁵ ¹H and ²⁹Si NMR spectra were recorded with a Bruker Avance DPX 200, a Bruker Avance DRX 300 or a Bruker Avance DRX 500 spectrometer, using C₆D₆ as solvent. Chemical shifts δ are given relative to SiMe₄. EI-MS spectra were obtained using a Finnigan MAT 8230 instrument. Elemental analyses were performed by the Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Universität Göttingen. Melting points were measured in a sealed glass tube on a Büchi B-540 melting point apparatus.

Synthesis of 1

n-Hexane (60 mL) was added to a 100 mL Schlenk flask containing L (0.30 g, 0.68 mmol) and benzoylhydrazine (0.10 g, 0.73 mmol) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The solution was filtered and the solvent was reduced *in vacuo* to about 30 mL and stored at -32 °C for a week in a freezer to obtain yellow colored single crystals. (0.30 g, 77%). Mp 215–218 °C (decomp). For elemental analysis, 1·0.52 *n*-hexane was treated under vacuum for six hours to remove the hexane molecules. Elemental analysis (%) calcd for C₃₆H₄₈N₄OSi (580.88): C, 74.44; H, 8.33; N, 9.65. Found: C, 74.22; H, 8.05; N, 9.37. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ 1.03 (d, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.17 (d, 6H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.19 (d, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.21 (d, 6H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.31 (d, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.25 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.30 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂),

3.43 (m, 1H, CH(CH₃)₂), 4.93 (b, 2H, NH, γ -CH), 6.39(s, 1H, SiH), 6.91–7.12 (m, 6H, $2 \times i Pr_2C_6H_3$), 7.47–7.50 (m, 5H, C_6H_5) ppm. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (59.63 MHz, C_6D_6 , 25 °C): δ –110.92 ppm. EI-MS: m/z 580 (M⁺).

Synthesis of 2

n-Hexane (60 mL) was added to a 100 mL Schlenk flask containing L (0.26 g, 0.59 mmol) and 1,2-dicarbethoxyhydrazine (0.11 g, 0.62 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The solution was filtered and the solvent was reduced in vacuo to 30 mL and stored in a freezer at -32 °C for 15 days to obtain colorless single crystals. (0.28 g, 76%). Mp 150–153 °C (decomp). Elemental analysis (%) calcd for C₃₅H₅₂N₄O₄Si (620.90): C, 67.70; H, 8.44; N, 9.02. Found: C, 67.10; H, 8.15; N, 9.31. ¹H NMR (200 MHz, $C_6 D_6$, 25 °C): $\delta 0.83$ (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, $CH_2 CH_3$), 1.01 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz, CH₂CH₃), 1.06 (d, 6H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.18 (d, 6H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.43 (d, 6H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.46 (s, 6H, NC(CH₃)), 1.54 (d, 6H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.21–3.47 $(m, 4H, CH(CH_3)_2), 3.80 (q, 2H, J = 7 Hz, -OCH_2), 4.12 (q, 2H, J)$ J = 7 Hz, $-OCH_2$), 4.88 (s, 1H, γ -CH), 6.41 (s, 1H, SiH), 7.10–7.23 (m, 6H, $2 \times i \Pr_2 C_6 H_3$) ppm. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (59.36 MHz, $C_6 D_6$, 25 °C): δ-103.75 ppm. EI-MS: m/z 620 (M⁺).

Synthesis of 3

n-Hexane (60 mL) was added to a 100 mL Schlenk flask containing L (0.32 g, 0.72 mmol) and 1,2-diacetylhydrazine (0.09 g, 0.77 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The solution was filtered and the solvent was reduced *in vacuo* to 20 mL and stored in a freezer at -32 °C for 2 days to obtain colorless single crystals. (0.34 g, 84%). Mp 163–165 °C (decomp). Elemental analysis (%) calcd for C₃₃H₄₈N₄O₂Si (560.85): C, 70.67; H, 8.63; N, 9.99. Found: C, 70.62; H, 8.56; N, 9.82. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ 1.07 (d, 6H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.20 (d, 6H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.52 (d, 6H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.84(s, 3H, CH₃), 1.94 (s, 3H, CH₃), 3.21 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.46 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂), 4.92 (s, 1H, γ -CH), 6.47 (s, 1H, SiH), 7.09–7.18 (m, 6H, $2 \times i Pr_2C_6H_3$) ppm. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (99.36 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ –104.39 ppm. EI-MS: m/z 560 (M⁺).

Synthesis of 4

n-Hexane (60 mL) was added to a 100 mL Schlenk flask containing L (0.45 g, 1.01 mmol) and 1,2-bis(tert-butoxycarbonyl)hydrazine (0.24 g, 1.03 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The solution was filtered and the solvent was reduced in vacuo to 30 mL and stored in a freezer at -32 °C for 15 days to obtain a crystalline product of 4. (0.52 g, 76%). Mp 145-148 °C (decomp). Elemental analysis (%) calcd for C₃₉H₆₀N₄O₄Si (677.00): C, 69.19; H, 8.93; N, 8.28. Found: C, 69.12; H, 8.86; N, 8.23. ¹H NMR (500 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ 1.05 (d, 6H, J = 7 Hz, $CH(CH_3)_2$), 1.20 (d, 6H, J = 7 Hz, $CH(CH_3)_2$), 1.25 (s, 9H, C(CH₃)₃), 1.44 (d, 6H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂), 1.45 (s, 15H, NC(CH₃), C(CH₃)₃), 1.55 (d, 6H, J = 7 Hz, CH(CH₃)₂, 3.29 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂), 3.42 (m, 2H, CH(CH₃)₂), 4.88 (s, 1H, γ-CH), 6.36 (s, 1H, SiH), 7.13–7.20 (m, 6H, $2 \times i Pr_2 C_6 H_3$) ppm. ²⁹Si{¹H} NMR (99.36 MHz, C₆D₆, 25 °C): δ –104.63 ppm. EI-MS: m/z 676 (M⁺).

Crystal Structure Determination

Suitable single crystals for X-ray structural analysis of 1, 2 and 3 were mounted at low temperature in inert oil under an argon atmosphere by applying the X-Temp2 device.¹⁶ The data of 1, 2 and 3 were collected at 100 K on a Bruker D8 three circle diffractometer equipped with a SMART APEX II CCD detector and an INCOATEC Mo microsource with INCOATEC Ouazar mirror optics.¹⁷ The data were integrated with SAINT¹⁸ and an empirical absorption correction with SADABS¹⁹ was applied. The structures were solved by direct methods (SHELXS-97) and refined against all data by full-matrix least-squares methods on F^2 (SHELXL-97).²⁰ All non hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. The hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically on calculated positions using a riding model with their U_{iso} values constrained to $1.5U_{eq}$ of their pivot atoms for terminal sp³ carbon atoms and 1.2 times for all other carbon atoms. The positions of hydrogen atoms located at the silicon atoms were taken from the Fourier difference map. Their U_{iso} value was constrained to be the $1.5U_{eq}$ of the silicon atom in the case of 2, $1.2U_{eq}$ in the case of 3 and refined freely in the case of 1. The site occupation factor for several lattice *n*-hexane molecules located on an inversion center in the unit cell was refined in the case of 1 leading to non-integer numbers in the empirical formula.

Conclusions

In summary, we have demonstrated the double N–H bond activation by the reaction of NHSi with substituted hydrazines leading to compounds 1-4 in high yield where each silicon is five coordinate. The driving force for this reaction is the oxidative addition at the silicon(II) centers to yield the five coordinate silicon(IV) products.

Acknowledgements

We are thankful to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft for supporting this work. R. A. is thankful to the Alexander von Humboldt Stiftung for a research fellowship. D. S. and J. H. are grateful to the DNRF funded *Center for Materials Crystallography* (CMC) for support and the Land Niedersachsen for providing a fellowship in the *Catalysis of Sustainable Synthesis* (CaSuS) PhD program.

References

- (a) J. Zhao, A. S. Goldman and J. F. Hartwig, *Science*, 2005, **307**, 1080–1082; (b) E. Morgan, D. F. MacLean, R. McDonald and L. Turculet, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 14234–14236; (c) D. M. Roundhill, *Chem. Rev.*, 1992, **92**, 1–27; (d) C. M. Fafard, D. Adhikari, B. M. Foxman, D. J. Mindiola and O. V. Ozerov, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 10318–10319; (e) G. D. Frey, V. Lavallo, B. Donnadieu, W. W. Schoeller and G. Bertrand, *Science*, 2007, **316**, 439–441; (f) E. Khaskin, M. A. Iron, L. J. W. Shimon, J. Zhang and D. Milstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 8542–8543; (g) A. Meltzer, S. Inoue, C. Präsang and M. Driess, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 3038–3046.
- 2 (a) D. J. Knobloch, E. Lobkovsky and P. J. Chirik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, **132**, 10553–10564; (b) E. M. Simmons and J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, **132**, 17092–17095; (c) C. C. H. Atienza, A. C. Bowman, E. Lobkovsky and P. J. Chirik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, **132**, 16343–16345; (d) A. J. Vetter, R. D. Rieth, W. W. Brennessel and W. D. Jones, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, **131**, 10742–10752; (e) F. Blasberg, J. W. Bats, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner and M. Wagner, Inorg. Chem., 2010, **49**, 7435–7445; (f) C. Michel, P. Belanzoni, P. Gamez, J. Reedijk and E. J.

Baerends, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 48, 11909–11920; (g) Y. Ohki, A. Murata,
M. Imada and K. Tatsumi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 48, 4271–4273; (h) M.
Oishi and H. Suzuki, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 48, 2349–2351; (i) L. D. Field,
R. W. Guest and P. Turner, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 9086–9093; (j) R. L.
Shook and A. S. Borovik, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 3646–3660; (k) P. M.
Polestshuk and T. V. Magdesieva, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 3370–3386; (l) G.-L. Wang, Y.-J. Lin, H. Berke and G.-X. Jin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 2193–2201; (m) M.-E. Moret, S. F. Keller, J. C. Slootweg and P. Chen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 48, 6972–6978; (n) A. Jana, I. Objartel, H.
W. Roesky and D. Stalke, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, 48, 7645–7649.

- 3 (a) S.-F. Zhu, X.-G. Song, Y. Li, Y. Cai and Q.-L. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 16374–16376; (b) Z. Yang and M. B. Hall, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 120–130; (c) Y. Liang, H. Zhou and Z.-X. Yu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 17783–17785; (d) T. H. Parsell, M.-Y. Yang and A. S. Borovik, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 2762–2763; (e) S. Sarkar, S. Li and B. B. Wayland, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 13569–13571; (f) K. J. Humphreys, L. M. Mirica, Y. Wang and J. P. Klinman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 4657–4663; (g) W. Gao, J. A. Keith, J. Anton and T. Jacob, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 18377–18385; (h) J. Li, Y. Shito and K. Yoshizawa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 13584–13585; (i) D. M. Spasyuk and D. Zargarian, Inorg. Chem., 2010, 49, 6203–6213.
- 4 Z. Huang, J. Zhou and J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 11458–11460.
- 5 A. Jana, C. Schulzke and H. W. Roesky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 4600–4601.
- 6 A. Jana, H. W. Roesky, C. Schulzke and P. P. Samuel, *Organometallics*, 2009, 28, 6574–6577.
- 7 R. Azhakar, S. P. Sarish, G. Tavčar, H. W. Roesky, J. Hey, D. Stalke and D. Koley, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 3028–3036.
- 8 (a) Y. Xiong, S. Yao and M. Driess, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 987–990; (b) Y. Xiong, S. Yao and M. Driess, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 5545–5551; (c) Y. Xiong, S. Yao and M. Driess, *Organometallics*, 2009, **28**, 1927–1933.
- 9 (a) Y. Xiong, S. Yao, R. Müller, M. Kaupp and M. Driess, *Nat. Chem.*, 2010, **2**, 577–580; (b) S. Yao, Y. Xiong, M. Brym and M. Driess, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 7268–7269.
- 10 (a) A. W. Addison, T. N. Rao, J. Reedijk, J. van Rijn and G. C. Verschoor, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1984, 1349–1356; (b) V. Chandrasekhar, R. Azhakar, T. Senapati, P. Thilagar, S. Ghosh, S. Verma, R. Boomishankar, A. Steiner and P. Kögerler, Dalton Trans., 2008, 1150–1160.
- (a) I. Kalikhman, E. Kertsnus-Banchik, B. Gostevskii, N. Kocher, D. Stalke and D. Kost, Organometallics, 2009, 28, 512–516; (b) I. Kalikhman, B. Gostevskii, E. Kertsnus, S. Deuerlein, D. Stalke, M. Botoshansky and D. Kost, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2008, 21, 1029– 1034; (c) I. Kalikhman, B. Gostevskii, E. Kertsnus, M. Botoshansky, C. A. Tessier, W. J. Youngs, S. Deuerlein, D. Stalke and D. Kost, Organometallics, 2007, 26, 2652–2658; (d) E. Kertsnus-Banchik, I. Kalikhman, B. Gostevskii, Z. Deutsch, M. Botoshansky and D. Kost, Organometallics, 2008, 27, 5285–5294.
- 12 N. Kocher, J. Henn, B. Gostevskii, I. Kost, I. Kalikhman, B. Engels and D. Stalke, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 5563–5568.
- 13 (a) R. Azhakar, R. S. Ghadwal, H. W. Roesky, J. Hey and D. Stalke, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 3853–3858; (b) A. Jana, D. Leusser, I. Objartel, H. W. Roesky and D. Stalke, *Dalton Trans.*, 2011, **40**, 5458– 5463; (c) R. Azhakar, S. P. Sarish, H. W. Roesky, J. Hey and D. Stalke, *Organometallics*, 2011, **50**, 2897–2900.
- 14 (a) V. Chandrasekhar and R. Azhakar, *CrystEngComm*, 2005, 7, 346–349; (b) V. Chandrasekhar, R. Azhakar, B. Murugesapandian, T. Senapati, P. Bag, M. D. Pandey, S. K. Maurya and D. Goswami, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, 49, 4008–4016; (c) V. Chandrasekhar, R. Azhakar, G. T. S. Andavan, V. Krishnan, S. Zacchini, J. Bickley, A. Steiner, R. J. Butcher and P. Kögerler, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2003, 42, 5989–5998.
- 15 M. Driess, S. Yao, M. Brym, C. van Wüllen and D. Lentz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, **128**, 9628–9629.
- 16 (a) D. Stalke, Chem. Soc. Rev., 1998, 27, 171–178; (b) T. Kottke and D. Stalke, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 1993, 26, 615–619.
- 17 T. Schulz, K. Meindl, D. Leusser, D. Stern, J. Graf, C. Michaelsen, M. Ruf, G. M. Sheldrick and D. Stalke, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2009, 42, 885–891.
- 18 SAINT, Bruker AXS Inc, Madison, Wisconsin (USA) 2000.
- 19 G. M. Sheldrick, *SADABS*, Universität Göttingen, Germany, 2000.
- 20 G. M. Sheldrick, Crystallogr. Sect. A, 2008, 64, 112-122.
- 21 H. D. Flack, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr., 1983, 39, 876–881.