Novel Growth Regime of MDCK II Model Tissues on Soft Substrates
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ABSTRACT It is well established that MDCK II cells grow in circular colonies that densify until contact inhibition takes place. Here, we show that this behavior is only typical for colonies developing on hard substrates and report a new growth phase of MDCK II cells on soft gels. At the onset, the new phase is characterized by small, three-dimensional droplets of cells attached to the substrate. When the contact area between the agglomerate and the substrate becomes sufficiently large, a very dense monolayer nucleates in the center of the colony. This monolayer, surrounded by a belt of three-dimensionally packed cells, has a well-defined structure, independent of time and cluster size, as well as a density that is twice the steady-state density found on hard substrates. To release stress in such dense packing, extrusions of viable cells take place several days after seeding. The extruded cells create second-generation clusters, as evidenced by an archipelago of aggregates found in a vicinity of mother colonies, which points to a mechanically regulated migratory behavior.
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Studying the growth of cell colonies is an important step in the understanding of processes involving coordinated cell behavior such as tissue development, wound healing, and cancer progression. Apart from extremely challenging in vivo studies, artificial tissue models are proven to be very useful in determining the main physical factors that affect the cooperativity of cells, simply because the conditions of growth can be very well controlled. One of the most established cell types in this field of research is the Madin-Darby canine kidney epithelial cell (MDCK), originating from the kidney distal tube (1). A great advantage of this polarized epithelial cell line is that it retained the ability for contact inhibition (2), which makes it a perfect model system for studies of epithelial morphogenesis.

Organization of MDCK cells in colonies have been studied in a number of circumstances. For example, it was shown that in three-dimensional soft Matrigel, MDCK cells form a spherical enclosure of a lumen that is enfolded by one layer of polarized cells with an apical membrane exposed to the lumen side (3). These structures can be altered by introducing the hepatocyte growth factor, which induces the formation of linear tubes (4). However, the best-studied regime of growth is performed on two-dimensional surfaces where MDCK II cells form sheets and exhibit contact inhibition. Consequently, the obtained monolayers are well characterized in context of development (5), mechanical properties (6), and obstructed cell migration (7–9).

Surprisingly, in the context of mechanics, several studies of monolayer formation showed that different rigidities of polydimethylsiloxane gels (5) and polyacrylamide (PA) gels (9) do not influence the nature of monolayer formation nor the attainable steady-state density. This is supposedly due to long-range forces between cells transmitted by the underlying elastic substrate (9). These results were found to agree well with earlier works on bovine aortic endothelial cells (10) and vascular smooth muscle cells (11), both reporting a lack of sensitivity of monolayers to substrate elasticity. Yet, these results are in stark contrast with single-cell experiments (12–15) that show a clear response of cell morphology, focal adhesions, and cytoskeleton organization to substrate elasticity. Furthermore, sensitivity to the presence of growth factors that are dependent on the elasticity of the substrate in two (16) and three dimensions (4) makes this result even more astonishing. Therefore, we readdress the issue of sensitivity of tissues to the elasticity of the underlying substrate and show that sufficiently soft gels induce a clearly different tissue organization.

We plated MDCK II cells on soft PA gels (Young’s modulus \( E = 0.6 \pm 0.2 \) kPa), harder PA gels (\( E = 5, 11, 20, 34 \) kPa), and glass, all coated with Collagen-I. Gels were prepared following the procedure described in Rehfeldt et al. (17); rigidity and homogeneity of the gels was confirmed by bulk and microrheology (see the Supporting Material for comparison). Seeding of MDCK II cells involved a highly concentrated solution dropped in the middle of a hydrated gel or glass sample. For single-cell
experiments, cells were dispersed over the entire dish. Samples were periodically fixed up to Day 12, stained for nuclei and actin, and imaged with an epifluorescence microscope. Details are described in the Supporting Material.

On hard substrates and glass it was found previously that the area of small clusters expands exponentially until the movement of the edge cannot keep up with the proliferation in the bulk (5). Consequently, the bulk density increases toward the steady state, whereas the density of the edge remains low. At the same time, the colony size grows subexponentially (5). This is what we denote “the classical regime of growth”. Our experiments support these observations for substrates with $E \geq 5$ kPa. Specifically, on glass, colonies start as small clusters of very low density of $700 \pm 200$ cells/mm$^2$ (Fig. 1, A and B), typically surrounded by a strong actin cable (Fig. 1, B and C). Interestingly, the spreading area of single cells (Fig. 1 A) on glass was found to be significantly larger, i.e., $(2.0 \pm 0.9) \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$. After Day 4 (corresponding cluster area of $600 \pm 100$ mm$^2$), the density in the center of the colony reached the steady state with $6,800 \pm 500$ cells/mm$^2$, whereas the mean density of the edge profile grew to $4,000 \pm 500$ cells/mm$^2$. This density was retained until Day 12 (cluster area $1800 \pm 100$ mm$^2$), which is in agreement with previous work (9).

In colonies grown on 0.6 kPa gels, however, we encounter a very different growth scenario. The average spreading area of single cells is $(0.34 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$, which is six times smaller than on glass substrates (Fig. 2 A). Clusters of only few cells show that cells have a preference for cell-cell contacts (a well-established flat contact zone can be seen at the cell-cell interface in Fig. 2 A) rather than for cell-substrate contacts (contact zone is diffusive and the shape of the cells appears curved). The same conclusion emerges from the fact that dropletlike agglomerates, resting on the substrate, form spontaneously (Fig. 2 A), and that attempts to seed one single cluster of 90,000 cells fail, resulting in a number of three-dimensional colonies (Fig. 2 A). When the contact area with the substrate exceeds $4.7 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$, a monolayer appears in the center of such colonies (Fig. 2 B). The colonies can merge, and if individual colonies are small, the collapse into a single domain is associated with the formation of transient irregular structures (Fig. 2 B). Ultimately, large elliptical colonies (average major/minor axis of $e = 1.8 \pm 0.6$) with a smooth edge are formed (Fig. 2 C), unlike on hard substrates where circular clusters ($e = 1.06 \pm 0.06$) with a ragged edge comprise the characteristic phenotype.

Irrespective of cluster size, in the new regime of growth, the internal structure is built of two compartments (Fig. 2 B):

1. The first is the edge $(0.019 \pm 0.05$-mm wide), a three-dimensional structure of densely packed cells. This belt is a signature of the new regime because on hard substrates the edge is strictly two-dimensional (Fig. 1 C).
2. The other is the centrally placed monolayer with a spatially constant density that is very weakly dependent on cluster size and age (Fig. 3). The mean monolayer density is $13,000 \pm 2,000$ cells/mm$^2$, which is an average over 130 clusters that are up to 12 days old and have a size in the range of $10^{-3}$ to $10$ mm$^2$, each shown by a data point in Fig. 3. This density is twice the steady-state density of the bulk tissue in the classical regime of growth.

**FIGURE 1** Early phase of cluster growth on hard substrates. (A) Well-spread single cells, and small clusters with a visible actin cable 6 h after seeding. (B) Within one day, clusters densify and merge, making small colonies. (C) Edge of clusters from panel B.

**FIGURE 2** Early phase of cluster growth on soft substrates. (A) Twelve hours after seeding, single cells remain mostly round and small. They are found as individual, or within small, three-dimensional structures (top). The latter nucleate a monolayer in their center (bottom), if the contact area with the substrate exceeds $-5 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$. (B) Irregularly-shaped clusters appear due to merging of smaller droplets. A stable monolayer surrounded by a three-dimensional belt of densely packed cells is clearly visible, even in larger structures. (C) All colonies are recorded on Day 4.
Until Day 4, the monolayer is very homogeneous, showing a nearly hexagonal arrangement of cells. From Day 4, however, defects start to appear in the form of small holes (typical size of \((0.3 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{-3} \text{ mm}^2\)). These could be attributed to the extrusions of viable cells, from either the belt or areas of increased local density in the monolayer (inset in Fig. 4). This suggests that extrusions serve to release stress built in the tissue, and, as a consequence, the overall density is decreased.

Previous reports suggest that isolated MDCK cells undergo anoikis 8 h after losing contact with their neighbors (18). However, in this case, it appears that instead of dying, the extruded cells create new colonies, which can be seen as an archipelago surrounding the mother cluster (Fig. 4). The viability of off-cast cells is further evidenced by the appearance of single cells and second-generation colonies with sizes varying over five orders of magnitude, from Day 4 until the end of the experiment, Day 12. Importantly, no morphological differences were found in the first- and second-generation colonies.

In conclusion, we show what we believe to be a novel phase of growth of MDCK model tissue on soft PA gels \((E = 0.6 \text{ kPa})\) that, to our knowledge, despite previous similar efforts (9), has not been observed before. This finding is especially interesting in the context of elasticity of real kidneys, for which a Young’s modulus has been found to be between 0.05 and 5 kPa (19,20). This coincides with the elasticity of substrates studied herein, and opens the possibility that the newly found phase of growth has a particular biological relevance. Likewise, the ability to extrude viable cells may point to a new migratory pathway regulated mechanically by the stresses in the tissue, the implication of which we hope to investigate in the future.
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