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## Supplementary Tables

We investigated the variation of the HMMER3 and RPS-BLAST prediction performance for a varying E-value cuttoff on the GOS and GHNM datasets. In that way we could ensure that the chosen 0.01 threshold on the test data provides a good compromise with a sufficient specificity across all read lengths that compares well with the UProC performance. The corresponding sensitivity in terms of the true positive rate (TPR) and the specificity in terms of the positive predictive value (PPV) are shown in Table 1 and 2 for HMMER and RPS-BLAST, respectively.

| source | read length | measure | E-value |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $10^{-3}$ | $10^{-2}$ | $10^{-1}$ | 1 |
| GOS | 100bp | TPR | 39.2 | 47.5 | 56.8 | 57.7 |
|  |  | PPV | 99.7 | 98.6 | 90.4 | 88.7 |
|  | 150bp | TPR | 60.6 | 67.4 | 75.3 | 76.2 |
|  |  | PPV | 99.3 | 98.2 | 90.2 | 88.6 |
|  | 200bp | TPR | 72.1 | 77.3 | 83.8 | 84.7 |
|  |  | PPV | 97.9 | 96.8 | 89.7 | 88.3 |
|  | 250bp | TPR | 79.3 | 83.4 | 88.9 | 89.7 |
|  |  | PPV | 95.6 | 94.7 | 88.7 | 87.5 |
| GNHM | 100bp | TPR | 34.4 | 42.7 | 52.5 | 53.6 |
|  |  | PPV | 99.5 | 97.8 | 87.3 | 84.9 |
|  | 150bp | TPR | 49.0 | 55.3 | 62.7 | 63.8 |
|  |  | PPV | 99.3 | 97.8 | 87.3 | 84.8 |
|  | 200bp | TPR | 67.6 | 73.4 | 80.9 | 82.1 |
|  |  | PPV | 98.3 | 97.0 | 88.0 | 85.7 |
|  | 250bp | TPR | 75.1 | 80.1 | 86.3 | 87.4 |
|  |  | PPV | 96.9 | 95.7 | 88.2 | 86.3 |

Table 1: Sensitivity (TPR) and specificity (PPV) of HMMER for different E-value cutoffs on Global Ocean Sampling (GOS) and Guerrero Negro Hypersaline Microbial Mat (GNHM) datasets.

| source | read length | measure | E-value |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $10^{-3}$ | $10^{-2}$ | $10^{-1}$ | 1 |
| GOS | 100bp | TPR | 37.7 | 44.8 | 53.6 | 74.2 |
|  |  | PPV | 99.8 | 98.9 | 90.3 | 59.5 |
|  | 150bp | TPR | 55.0 | 61.4 | 70.0 | 89.7 |
|  |  | PPV | 99.2 | 98.1 | 89.4 | 65.5 |
|  | 200bp | TPR | 65.0 | 70.6 | 78.6 | 94.6 |
|  |  | PPV | 97.5 | 96.5 | 88.2 | 68.7 |
|  | 250bp | TPR | 71.8 | 76.7 | 83.7 | 96.6 |
|  |  | PPV | 95.2 | 94.3 | 87.5 | 72.1 |
| GNHM | 100bp | TPR | 32.3 | 39.6 | 49.4 | 75.2 |
|  |  | PPV | 99.7 | 98.1 | 83.8 | 47.4 |
|  | 150bp | TPR | 49.7 | 56.7 | 67.0 | 91.0 |
|  |  | PPV | 99.3 | 97.4 | 82.7 | 54.3 |
|  | 200bp | TPR | 60.4 | 66.7 | 76.4 | 95.2 |
|  |  | PPV | 98.1 | 96.3 | 83.2 | 60.9 |
|  | 250bp | TPR | 67.5 | 73.1 | 82.0 | 96.9 |
|  |  | PPV | 96.6 | 95.0 | 84.0 | 66.1 |

Table 2: Sensitivity (TPR) and specificity (PPV) of RPS-BLAST for different E-value cutoffs on Global Ocean Sampling (GOS) and Guerrero Negro Hypersaline Microbial Mat (GNHM) datasets.

