Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics. This journal is © the Owner Societies 2017

**Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)** 

## Multi-spectroscopic and theoretical analyses on the diphenyl ether-*tert*-butyl alcohol complex in the electronic ground and electronically excited state

Dominic Bernhard<sup>1</sup>, Fabian Dietrich<sup>1</sup>, Mariyam Fatima<sup>2</sup>, Cristobal Perez<sup>2</sup>, Anja Poblotzki<sup>3</sup>, Georg Jansen<sup>\*4</sup>, Martin A. Suhm<sup>\*3</sup>, Melanie Schnell<sup>\*2</sup>, and Markus Gerhards<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>TU Kaiserslautern, Fachbereich Chemie & Research Center Optimas, Erwin-Schroedinger-Str. 52, D-67663 Kaiserslautern, Germany. E-mail: gerhards@chemie.uni-kl.de

<sup>2</sup>Max-Planck-Institut für Struktur und Dynamik der Materie, Luruper Chaussee 149,

D-22761 Hamburg, Germany. E-mail: melanie.schnell@mpsd.mpg.de

<sup>3</sup>Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Universität Göttingen, Tammannstr. 6,

D-37077 Göttingen, Germany. E-mail: msuhm@gwdg.de

<sup>4</sup>Fakultät für Chemie, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Universitätsstraße 5,

D-45117 Essen, Germany. E-mail: georg.jansen@uni-due.de



 $\label{eq:Fig.S1} \mbox{Further calculated minimum structures for the electronic ground state (S_0) at the B3LYP-D3(BJ)/def2-TZVP level.$ 



**Fig. S2** Most stable calculated minimum structures for the electronically excited state (S<sub>1</sub>); calculated at the SCS-CC2/def2-TZVP level.



Fig. S3 Visualization of the HOMOs and LUMOs for the three lowest calculated minimum structures



**Fig. S4** DFT-SAPT energy contributions to the total stabilization energy of (a) the OH-O and (b) the OH- $\pi$ 1 isomer and (c) the differences in these contributions for the various OH- $\pi$  isomers as obtained for the B3LYP-D3(BJ) geometries.

**Table S1** Relative electronic energies  $\Delta E$  for calculated minimum structures at the B3LYP-D3(BJ)/def2-TZVP level; relative energies  $\Delta E_0$  include ZPE; scaled OH-stretching vibration  $\tilde{\nu}$  (scaling factor = 0.9622), calculated IR intensity *I*, rotational constants *A*, *B*, *C* and components of the dipole moment  $\mu_{a}, \mu_{b}, \mu_{c}$  for isomers OH-O and OH- $\pi$ 1-4.

	$\Delta E/kJ/mol$	$\Delta E_0$ /kJ/mol	$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}/cm^{-1}$	l/km/mol	A/MHz	<i>B</i> /MHz	C/MHz	$\mu_{ m a} \mu_{ m b} \mu_{ m c}/{ m D}$
OH-O	-0.77	0.08	3580	251	435.1775	342.1914	217.2769	0.8 2.2 1.6
OH-π1	0.00	0.00	3613	109	537.9754	294.5549	220.5395	0.7 0.6 0.1
OH-π2	0.39	0.11	3606	118	535.0402	300.5749	221.7424	1.1 0.04 1.7
OH-π3	4.91	4.58	3601	151	543.2928	282.2642	211.3234	1.8 0.3 0.2
OH-π4	6.30	5.44	3606	152	586.0810	256.3124	203.6024	2.1 0.5 2.0
CH-π1	10.5	9.4	3642	13	480.0505	304.7883	241.9050	
CH-π2	10.6	9.5	3642	12	478.8069	305.7216	215.1915	
CH-π3	11.1	9.8	3641	11	483.2966	301.5195	213.6017	

**Table S2** Relative electronic energies  $\Delta E$  for calculated minimum structures at the SCS-CC2/def2-TZVP level; relative energies  $\Delta E_0$  include ZPE; scaled OH-stretching vibration  $\tilde{\nu}$  (scaling factor = 0.9686), calculated IR intensity *I*, rotational constants *A*, *B*, *C* and components of the dipole moment  $\mu_{a}, \mu_{b}, \mu_{c}$ .

	$\Delta E/kJ/mol$	$\Delta E_0$ /kJ/mol	$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\nu}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$	//km/mol	A/MHz	<i>B</i> /MHz	C/MHz	$\mu_{a} \mu_{b} \mu_{c}/D$
OH-O	-0.2	0.72	3588	195	433.4743	340.2644	216.4502	0.6 2.2 1.7
OH-π1	0.00	0.00	3613	78	541.7249	288.7001	219.1483	0.8 0.3 0.006
OH-π2	0.39	0.01	3603	90	533.9304	297.0943	220.0477	0.8 0.2 1.9
OH-π3	4.95	4.32	3605	114	539.6264	281.2053	210.4543	1.9 0.3 0.3
OH-π4	5.64	4.62	3607	115	581.8972	256.3226	203.5591	2.1 0.5 2.1

**Table S3** Energy decompositions (kJ/mol) from a SAPT(0)/jun-cc-pVDZ analysis for the B3LYP-D3(BJ) geometries, in comparison for both DPE-*t*-BuOH and DPE-MeOH<sup>1</sup>.  $E_{IND}$  and  $E_{DISP}$  are the sums of the individual contributions as defined in Section 3, which are given here also for better comparison with the results for the DPE-MeOH complex<sup>1</sup>.

species	isomer	$E_{\rm int}$	$E_{\rm el}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm exch}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm IND}$	$E_{\rm ind}^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm ind-exch}^{(2)}$	$E_{\text{DISP}}$	$E_{\rm disp}^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm disp-exch}^{(2)}$	δ(HF)
	OH-O	-29.36	-34.08	44.70	-9.53	-15.70	10.64	-30.46	-34.40	3.94	-4.46
DPE <i>—t-</i> BuOH	OH-π1	-26.85	-29.39	41.89	-8.31	-14.07	9.72	-31.05	-35.05	4.00	-3.96
	OH-π2	-26.16	-28.49	42.25	-8.85	-14.70	10.00	-31.06	-35.10	4.04	-4.15
DPE-	OH-O	-23.9	-30.5	34.5	-8.0			-19.8			
MeOH	ΟΗ-π	-22.8	-25.9	32.4	-7.3			-22.0			

**Table S4** Stabilization energies  $E_{stab}$  for the SCS-CC2 geometries, all results in kJ/mol with MP2-F12 / SCS-MP2-F12 (aVTZ,CPC), and SAPT with aVDZ/aVTZ extrapolation of dispersion contributions.

	MP2	SCS- MP2	DFT- SAPT	$E_{\rm el}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm exch}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm IND}$	$E_{\rm ind}^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm ind-excl}^{(2)}$	E <sub>DISP</sub>	$E_{\rm disp}^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm disp-exc}^{(2)}$	δ(HF)	$E_{\rm def}$
OH-O	-32.32	-24.51	-23.51	-30.07	47.60	-8.37	-18.57	14.40	-33.11	-38.74	5.63	-4.20	0.44
OH-π1	-31.48	-23.57	-24.93	-24.98	39.53	-7.03	-13.99	10.40	-32.26	-37.57	5.31	-3.44	0.82
OH-π2	-31.41	-23.35	-23.99	-25.08	40.56	-7.61	-14.60	10.81	-32.53	-37.91	5.38	-3.82	0.67
OH-π3	-27.68	-19.60	-20.58	-18.58	36.25	-6.44	-12.55	9.68	-32.31	-37.31	5.00	-3.57	0.51
OH-π4	-26.05	-18.65	-19.51	-17.00	32.21	-5.99	-12.15	9.40	-29.23	-33.72	4.49	-3.24	0.49

**Table S5** Stabilization energies  $E_{stab}$  for the B3LYP-D3(BJ) geometries, all results in kJ/mol with MP2-F12 / SCS-MP2-F12 (aVTZ,CPC), and SAPT with aVDZ/aVTZ extrapolation of dispersion contributions.

	MP2	SCS- MP2	DFT- SAPT	$E_{\rm el}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm exch}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm IND}$	$E_{\rm ind}^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm ind-excl}^{(2)}$	E <sub>DISP</sub>	$E_{\rm disp}^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm disp-exc}^{(2)}$	δ(HF)	$E_{def}$
OH-O	-32.38	-24.27	-23.20	-32.80	53.12	-9.34	-21.02	16.39	-34.63	-40.75	6.12	-4.71	0.45
OH-π1	-31.50	-22.99	-23.58	-28.33	46.28	-8.13	-16.36	12.46	-34.58	-40.55	5.97	-4.23	1.18
OH-π2	-30.96	-22.41	-23.17	-27.59	46.52	-8.56	-16.74	12.60	-34.70	-40.70	6.00	-4.42	1.17
OH-π3	-28.09	-18.93	-20.01	-19.82	39.75	-6.98	-13.89	10.81	-33.72	-39.10	5.38	-3.90	0.77
OH-π4	-25.72	-18.05	-18.98	-18.02	35.11	-6.46	-13.34	10.40	-30.49	-35.30	4.81	-3.52	0.85

**Table S6** Relative energy contributions compared to the OH-O isomer (kJ/mol) from a SAPT(0)/jun-cc-pVDZ analysis for the B3LYP-D3(BJ) geometries, in comparison for both DPE-*t*-BuOH and DPE-MeOH<sup>1</sup>.  $E_{IND}$  and  $E_{DISP}$  are the sums of the individual contributions as defined in Section 3, which are given here also for better comparison with the results for the DPE-MeOH complex<sup>1</sup>.

species	isomer	$E_{\rm int}$	$E_{\rm el}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm exch}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm IND}$	$E_{\rm ind}^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm ind-exch}^{(2)}$	$E_{\text{DISP}}$	$E_{\rm disp}^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm disp-exch}^{(2)}$	δ(HF)
	OH-O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DPE <i>—t-</i> BuOH	OH-π1	2.51	4.69	-2.81	1.22	1.63	-0.92	-0.59	-0.65	0.06	0.50
	ОН-π2	3.20	5.59	-2.45	0.68	1.00	-0.64	-0.60	-0.70	0.10	0.31
DPE-	OH-O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00			
MeOH	ΟΗ-π	1.10	4.60	-2.10	0.70			-2.20			

**Table S7** Relative energy contributions compared to the OH-O isomer for the SCS-CC2 geometries, all results in kJ/mol with MP2-F12 / SCS-MP2-F12 (aVTZ,CPC), and SAPT with aVDZ/aVTZ extrapolation of dispersion contributions.

	MP2	SCS- MP2	DFT- SAPT	$E_{\rm el}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm exch}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm IND}$	$E_{\rm ind}^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm ind-excl}^{(2)}$	E <sub>DISP</sub>	$E_{\rm disp}^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm disp-exc}^{(2)}$	δ(HF)	$E_{\rm def}$
OH-O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
OH-π1	0.84	0.94	-1.42	5.09	-8.07	1.34	4.58	-4.00	0.85	1.17	-0.32	0.76	0.38
OH-π2	0.91	1.16	-0.48	4.99	-7.04	0.76	3.97	-3.59	0.58	0.83	-0.25	0.38	0.23
OH-π3	4.64	4.91	2.93	11.49	-11.35	1.93	6.02	-4.72	0.80	1.43	-0.63	0.63	0.07
OH-π4	6.27	5.86	4.00	13.07	-15.39	2.38	6.42	-5.00	3.88	5.02	-1.14	0.96	0.05

**Table S8** Relative energy contributions compared to the OH-O isomer for the B3LYP-D3 geometries, all results in kJ/mol with MP2-F12 / SCS-MP2-F12 (aVTZ,CPC), and SAPT with aVDZ/aVTZ extrapolation of dispersion contributions.

	MP2	SCS- MP2	DFT- SAPT	$E_{\rm el}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm exch}^{(1)}$	$E_{\rm IND}$	$E_{\rm ind}^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm ind-excl}^{(2)}$	E <sub>DISP</sub>	$E_{\rm disp}^{(2)}$	$E_{\rm disp-exc}^{(2)}$	δ(HF)	$E_{\rm def}$
OH-O	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
OH-π1	0.88	1.28	-0.38	4.47	-6.84	1.21	4.66	-3.93	0.05	0.20	-0.15	0.48	0.73
OH-π2	1.42	1.86	0.03	5.21	-6.60	0.78	4.28	-3.79	-0.07	0.05	-0.12	0.29	0.72
OH-π3	4.29	5.34	3.19	12.98	-13.37	2.36	7.13	-5.58	0.91	1.65	-0.74	0.81	0.32
OH-π4	6.66	6.22	4.22	14.78	-18.01	2.88	7.68	-5.99	4.14	5.45	-1.31	1.19	0.40

**Table S9** Relative electronic energies  $\Delta E$  for calculated minimum structures at the SCS-CC2/def2-TZVP level in the first excited state; relative energies  $\Delta E_0$  include ZPE; scaled OH-stretching vibration  $\tilde{\nu}$  (scaling factor = 0.9686), calculated IR intensity *I*, adiabatic excitation energy  $E_{S1 \leftarrow S0, \text{ adiab.}}$ 

	∆E/kJ/mol	$\Delta E_0$ /kJ/mol	ν̃/cm⁻¹	I/km/mol	$E_{S1 \leftarrow S0, adiab.}/cm^{-1}$
OH-O	4.13	4.32	3617	107	37616
OH-π1	0.00	0.00	3583	110	37259
OH-π2	1.78	1.43	3601	89	37360
OH-π3	5.87	6.22	3568	144	37314
OH-π4	6.58	5.53	3573	164	37328

**Table S10** Calculated dissociation energies for different minimum structures obtained at the SCS-CC2/def2-TZVP level in the ground and first excited state; energies are given in kJ/mol.

	D(S <sub>0</sub> )	<i>D</i> (S <sub>1</sub> )	$D_0(S_0)$	$D_0(S_1)$
OH-O	28.22	27.46	24.58	24.42
OH-π1	28.03	31.60	25.30	28.74
OH-π2	27.65	29.82	25.29	27.31
OH-π3	23.08	25.73	20.98	22.52
OH-π4	22.39	25.02	20.68	23.21



Fig. S5  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{IR}_{\mathsf{fixed}}}\xspace/\mathsf{R2PI}$  spectra (red) as well as the one color R2PI spectrum (black), carrier gas neon.

							7	2	6	$\leftarrow$	6	2	
K <sub>a</sub> ' K <sub>c</sub> '		J"	K <sub>a</sub> "	K <sub>c</sub> "	v <sub>obs</sub> /MHz	V <sub>obs</sub> -V <sub>calc</sub>	7	2	6	$\leftarrow$	6	1	
1 2	←	2	0	2	2179.0803	-0.0062	6	3	4	$\leftarrow$	5	2	
2 1	←	2	1	1	2190.0926	0.0010	8	0	8	$\leftarrow$	7	1	
0 5	←	4	1	4	2300.0086	-0.0042	5	3	2	$\leftarrow$	4	2	
1 5	←	4	1	4	2300.4668	-0.0022	5	2	3	$\leftarrow$	4	1	
2 2	←	2	1	2	2301.6471	0.0011	6	4	2	$\leftarrow$	5	4	
1 5	←	4	0	4	2302.7382	-0.0043	6	3	3	$\leftarrow$	5	3	
2 3	←	3	1	2	2305.8414	-0.0051	5	1	4	$\leftarrow$	4	0	
3 1	←	2	2	0	2398.4353	0.0014	5	2	4	$\leftarrow$	4	1	
2 7	4	9	1	8	2401.9592	0.0023	7	2	5	$\leftarrow$	6	3	
3 7	4	9	2	8	2403.5786	-0.0064	5	4	2	$\leftarrow$	4	3	
3 1	` ~	3	3	0	2403 7120	0.0094	5	3	3	$\leftarrow$	4	2	
3 0	` ~	2	2	0	2424 2002	0.0002	5	4	1	$\leftarrow$	4	3	
2 2	` ∠	2	2	1	2459 8093	0.0029	7	2	5	$\leftarrow$	6	2	
3 1	` ∠	2	2	1	2463 7815	0.0004	7	3	5	$\leftarrow$	6	2	
3 0	` ∠	2	2	1	2405.7015	-0.0014	8	1	7	$\leftarrow$	7	2	
1 4	` ∠	4	2	2	2589 9479	-0.0039	8	2	7	$\leftarrow$	7	2	
2 1	` ∠	4	2	3	2608 9706	-0.0035	8	1	7	←	7	1	
2 4	` ∠	4	2	2	2618 1395	-0.0012	8	2	7	←	7	1	
0 6	` ∠	5	1	5	2728 5619	-0.0043	5	4	2	←	4	3	
1 6	` ∠	5	0	5	2729 1060	-0.0091	5	4	1	$\leftarrow$	4	3	
3 2	` ∠	3	2	1	28/3 2/12	0.0094	9	0	9	←	8	1	
2 2	` ∠	2	1	2	2875 7788	0.0034	5	5	1	$\leftarrow$	4	4	
1 3	` ∠	2	0	2	2961 4037	-0.0004	6	4	3	$\leftarrow$	5	3	
3 1	` ∠	2	2	1	2987 0488	0.0045	5	5	0	$\leftarrow$	4	4	
2 3	` ∠	4	2	2	3001 5741	0.0042	5	5	1	$\leftarrow$	4	4	
3 3	` ∠	5	4	2	3010 1795	0.0162	5	5	0	$\leftarrow$	4	4	
2 3	` ~	3	1	2	3015 0497	0.0055	5	3	2	$\leftarrow$	4	2	
1 5	` ~	5	2	4	3045 8398	0.0014	8	2	6	$\leftarrow$	7	3	
2 5	4	5	2	4	3050.5810	-0.0039	7	3	4	$\leftarrow$	6	3	
1 5	4	5	1	4	3064.8596	0.0011	8	3	6	$\leftarrow$	7	3	
2 5	` ~	5	-	4	3069.6046	-0.0003	8	3	6	$\leftarrow$	7	2	
3 2	` ~	3	2	2	3099 1665	0.0065	6	3	3	$\leftarrow$	5	2	
0 7	` ~	6	1	6	3156 3147	-0.0075	9	1	8	$\leftarrow$	8	2	
1 7	` ~	6	0	6	3156 4336	0.0102	9	2	8	$\leftarrow$	8	1	
3 3	` ~	4	2	2	3208 1640	-0.0020	6	4	2	$\leftarrow$	5	3	
2 4	` ~	5	- २	- 3	3242,7265	-0.0124	6	2	4	$\leftarrow$	5	1	
	` ~	3	2	2	3242 9693	-0.0031	10	0	10	$\leftarrow$	9	1	
4 1	` ~	3	- २	0	3286 1381	-0.0020	7	4	4	$\leftarrow$	6	3	
4 0	` ~	२ २	3	0	3294,4956	-0.0024	6	3	4	$\leftarrow$	5	2	
4 1	` ~	3	3	1	3311,9261	0.0197	6	1	5	$\leftarrow$	5	0	
4 0	` ∠	2	2	1	3320 250/	-0.0047	6	2	5	←	5	1	

6

7

2

1

4

6

 $\leftarrow$ 5

 $\leftarrow$ 

6

2 3

2

5

3449.3429

3481.2040

0.0076

-0.0108

-0.0128 -0.0021 -0.0005 0.0032 -0.0037 -0.0021 -0.0018 -0.0086 0.0052 0.0055 0.0167 -0.0016 -0.0028 -0.0048 -0.0089 0.0187 0.0027 0.0034 0.0029 0.0052 0.0047 -0.0009 -0.004 -0.0055 0.0042 -0.0032 -0.0082 -0.0066 0.0044 0.0116 0.0003 0.0109 0.0030 -0.0040 0.0020 -0.0055 -0.0011 -0.0048 -0.0014 0.0118 -0.0002 0.0006 0.0045

Table S11 Rotational transitions observed for the OH-O isomer of DPE-t-BuOH using broadband rotational spectroscopy.

6	4	3	$\leftarrow$	5	3	3	4561.3977	0.0059	8	5	4	$\leftarrow$	7	4	4	6018.6262	-0.0022
9	2	7	$\leftarrow$	8	3	6	4664.0167	-0.0049	13	1	12	$\leftarrow$	12	2	11	6048.1416	-0.0035
9	3	7	$\leftarrow$	8	3	6	4665.6947	0.0008	8	6	2	$\leftarrow$	7	5	2	6130.6825	-0.0043
9	2	7	$\leftarrow$	8	2	6	4670.9479	-0.0016	11	4	7	$\leftarrow$	10	5	6	6132.0736	0.0358
9	3	7	$\leftarrow$	8	2	6	4672.6228	0.0010	8	6	3	$\leftarrow$	7	5	3	6198.4000	-0.0091
6	5	1	$\leftarrow$	5	4	1	4715.9003	0.0043	8	6	2	$\leftarrow$	7	5	3	6244.3688	0.0162
8	4	5	←	7	3	4	4751.6506	0.0062	11	5	7	←	10	4	6	6271.4336	0.0071
6	5	2	←	5	4	2	4756.2069	0.0074	12	3	9	←	11	4	8	6277.6374	-0.0096
6	5	1	$\leftarrow$	5	4	2	4779.8226	0.0017	12	4	9	←	11	4	8	6278.1673	-0.0048
6	4	2	←	5	3	3	4797.4077	-0.0021	12	4	9	←	11	3	8	6280.3831	-0.0015
11	0	11	←	10	1	10	4866.6121	-0.0115	8	7	2	←	7	6	1	6457.1603	-0.0036
9	3	6	←	8	4	5	4946.4439	0.0130	8	7	1	←	7	6	1	6459.5068	-0.0047
6	6	1	←	5	5	0	5025.7072	0.0022	8	7	2	←	7	6	2	6464.8974	-0.0099
6	6	0	←	5	5	0	5026.3608	-0.0033	8	7	1	←	7	6	2	6467.2477	-0.0072
6	6	1	, 4	5	5	1	5028,1329	0.0004	9	6	4	4	8	5	3	6474,1454	0.0054
6	6	0	` ←	5	5	1	5028 7969	0.0053	14	1	13	` ←	13	1	12	6475 6501	-0 0044
10	2	8	` ∠	9	3	7	5093 4367	-0.0059	15	0	15	` ∠	14	0	14	6576 8797	0.0121
10	2	0	` ∠	0	י ז	, 7	5005.4307	-0.0035	12	5	25	` ∠	14	1	7	66/1 7812	0.0021
10	5	2		5	2	, ,	5055.4828	0.0070	12	5	2		0	4 E	2	6640 2070	0.0003
11	3	5 10		10	4	2	5152.7190	-0.0005	12	2	5 10		0	2	5	6704 6772	-0.0071
11	1	10		10	2	9	5193.1009	0.0017	13	3	10		12	4	9	6704.0772	-0.0036
7	2	5	                                     	ь С	1	5	5214.0783	-0.0038	13	4	10	    	12	4	9	6704.8016	0.0026
/	3	5	   	6	2	5	5235.2524	0.0002	13	3	10	   	12	3	9	6705.1969	-0.0091
/	1	6	   	6	0	6	5256.6890	0.0039	13	4	10	   	12	3	9	6705.3186	-0.0054
/	2	6	÷	6	1	6	5257.6683	0.0048	9	5	5	÷	8	4	5	6709.8369	-0.0069
/	5	3	÷	6	4	3	5368.7432	0.0054	9	4	6	÷	8	3	6	6/10.9020	-0.0099
10	4	/	<i>←</i>	9	3	6	5450.5682	0.0026	9	2	/	<i>←</i>	8	1	/	6740.3934	-0.0044
8	5	4	<i>←</i>	7	4	3	5461.2808	0.0071	9	3	7	<i>←</i>	8	2	7	6741.8430	-0.0050
7	5	2	÷	6	4	3	5482.4110	0.0076	14	2	12	÷	13	3	11	6802.6806	0.0004
11	2	9	÷	10	3	8	5520.9620	-0.0002	9	6	4	÷	8	5	4	6816.1793	-0.0045
11	3	9	$\leftarrow$	10	3	8	5521.0431	0.0008	9	7	3	$\leftarrow$	8	6	2	6998.5350	0.0004
11	2	9	$\leftarrow$	10	2	8	5521.3315	-0.0064	9	7	2	$\leftarrow$	8	6	2	7014.9588	0.0009
11	3	9	÷	10	2	8	5521.4184	0.0005	13	4	9	÷	12	5	8	7034.5275	-0.0081
7	6	2	÷	6	5	1	5583.5282	-0.0042	9	7	3	$\leftarrow$	8	6	3	7044.4788	0.0008
7	6	1	÷	6	5	1	5591.2787	0.0029	13	5	9	$\leftarrow$	12	4	8	7047.2184	-0.0042
7	6	2	$\leftarrow$	6	5	2	5607.1444	-0.0093	9	7	2	$\leftarrow$	8	6	3	7060.8968	-0.0044
7	6	1	$\leftarrow$	6	5	2	5614.8958	-0.0013	14	4	11	←	13	3	10	7131.5712	0.0014
12	1	11	$\leftarrow$	11	2	10	5620.6414	-0.0052	15	2	13	$\leftarrow$	14	3	12	7230.0336	0.0030
13	0	13	$\leftarrow$	12	1	12	5721.7534	0.0005	9	8	2	$\leftarrow$	8	7	1	7323.1492	0.0062
11	4	8	$\leftarrow$	10	3	7	5859.4129	-0.0002	9	8	1	$\leftarrow$	8	7	1	7323.8236	0.0069
7	7	1	$\leftarrow$	6	6	0	5888.7241	-0.0009	9	8	2	$\leftarrow$	8	7	2	7325.4895	-0.0010
7	7	1	$\leftarrow$	6	6	1	5889.3821	-0.0020	9	8	1	$\leftarrow$	8	7	2	7326.1609	-0.0033
7	7	0	$\leftarrow$	6	6	1	5889.5558	0.0005	16	1	15	$\leftarrow$	15	2	14	7330.6840	-0.0026
12	3	10	$\leftarrow$	11	2	9	5948.2586	0.0006	10	6	5	$\leftarrow$	9	5	5	7472.4723	-0.0124
8	2	6	←	7	1	6	5980.9629	-0.0076	10	2	8	←	9	1	8	7497.0662	-0.0055
8	3	6	←	7	2	6	5986.8319	-0.0022	10	3	8	←	9	2	8	7497.4013	-0.0020
8	1	7	←	7	0	7	6011.7993	0.0000	10	1	9	←	9	0	9	7520.5694	0.0053
8	2	7	$\leftarrow$	7	1	7	6012.0110	0.0049	10	7	3	$\leftarrow$	9	6	3	7539.1102	0.0046

10	7	4	←	9	6	4	7638.4041	0.0058
16	2	14	$\leftarrow$	15	3	13	7657.4254	0.0052
10	8	2	$\leftarrow$	9	7	2	7889.0416	0.0038
15	4	11	$\leftarrow$	14	5	10	7889.3683	-0.0006

15	5	11	4	1/	1	10	7800 1883	0.0057
10	5		Ì	14	-	10	7000.1000	0.0037
10	8	3	÷	9	/	3	7900.0637	0.0046
10	8	2	$\leftarrow$	9	7	3	7905.4645	0.0033
							RMS	6.600kHz

Table S12 Rotational transitions observed for the  $\text{OH-}\pi1$ isomer of DPE-t-BuOH using broadband rotational spectroscopy.

-

Jʻ	K <sub>a</sub> '	K <sub>c</sub> '		J"	K <sub>a</sub> "	K <sub>c</sub> "	v <sub>obs</sub> /MHz	v <sub>obs</sub> -v <sub>calc</sub> /MHz
4	3	2	$\leftarrow$	3	3	1	2037.0887	-0.0047
4	3	1	$\leftarrow$	3	3	0	2045.9123	0.0041
4	2	2	←	3	2	1	2114.2635	0.0026
9	4	5	$\leftarrow$	8	5	4	2249.9962	0.0107
5	1	5	$\leftarrow$	4	1	4	2299.2081	0.0060
5	2	4	←	4	2	3	2490.3503	-0.0060
5	3	3	←	4	3	2	2549.8483	-0.0087
5	3	2	$\leftarrow$	4	3	1	2579.1855	0.0048
5	2	3	$\leftarrow$	4	2	2	2673.1364	0.0097
6	0	6	$\leftarrow$	5	0	5	2761.2173	-0.0167
6	2	5	$\leftarrow$	5	2	4	2965.9580	-0.0045
5	3	2	$\leftarrow$	5	0	5	3036.2823	0.0085
6	3	4	$\leftarrow$	5	3	3	3059.9410	-0.0008
6	4	3	$\leftarrow$	5	4	2	3065.0883	0.0040
6	4	2	$\leftarrow$	5	4	1	3070.0916	0.0054
6	3	3	$\leftarrow$	5	3	2	3130.9009	0.0060
7	1	7	$\leftarrow$	6	1	6	3178.8126	0.0065
7	0	7	$\leftarrow$	6	0	6	3189.1725	-0.0086
8	2	6	$\leftarrow$	7	3	5	3429.7884	-0.0110
7	2	6	$\leftarrow$	6	2	5	3431.4048	-0.0010
7	6	2	$\leftarrow$	6	6	1	3563.2552	0.0103
7	3	5	$\leftarrow$	6	3	4	3564.1269	-0.0022
7	5	3	$\leftarrow$	6	5	2	3572.8207	0.0056
7	5	2	$\leftarrow$	6	5	1	3573.4954	0.0071
7	4	4	$\leftarrow$	6	4	3	3584.8800	0.0062
7	4	3	$\leftarrow$	6	4	2	3600.7923	0.0027
8	1	8	$\leftarrow$	7	1	7	3614.3752	0.0071
8	0	8	$\leftarrow$	7	0	7	3619.3181	-0.0054
8	2	7	$\leftarrow$	7	2	6	3887.2833	-0.0011
9	1	9	$\leftarrow$	8	1	8	4048.6551	0.0056
9	0	9	←	8	0	8	4050.9157	0.0029
8	3	6	$\leftarrow$	7	3	5	4059.6019	-0.0058
8	5	4	$\leftarrow$	7	5	3	4093.5151	0.0082

							RMS	8.515kHz
14	5	10	$\leftarrow$	13	5	9	7220.9031	0.0080
9	5	5	$\leftarrow$	9	2	8	6793.0308	-0.0124
12	7	6	$\leftarrow$	11	7	5	6150.6262	-0.0148
12	4	9	$\leftarrow$	11	4	8	6129.5237	0.0160
12	2	10	←	11	2	9	6008.2201	-0.0132
11	6	5	$\leftarrow$	10	6	4	5652.1803	-0.0129
11	6	6	$\leftarrow$	10	6	5	5648.1712	-0.0174
11	4	8	$\leftarrow$	10	4	7	5637.5344	0.0011
11	7	4	$\leftarrow$	10	7	3	5626.5860	-0.0030
11	7	5	$\leftarrow$	10	7	4	5626.4034	-0.0048
11	8	4	$\leftarrow$	10	8	3	5611.8369	0.0113
9	6	3	←	9	4	6	5599.2594	0.0042
7	6	2	←	8	2	7	5531.7816	0.0005
9	3	6	←	9	1	9	5359.0205	-0.0055
12	1	12	←	11	0	11	5349.1441	0.0147
10	5	6	←	9	5	5	5143.2968	0.0032
10	4	7	←	9	4	6	5134.5069	0.0169
10	6	5	←	9	6	4	5122.0404	-0.0009
10	7	4	←	9	7	3	5105.2866	0.0142
10	6	5	←	11	1	10	5018.1737	0.0080
10	3	8	←	9	3	7	5017.8420	0.0142
10	1	9	←	9	1	8	4802.4481	-0.0122
9	4	5	←	8	4	4	4708.7330	-0.0125
13	8	5	←	14	4	10	4696.6614	-0.0013
9	5	4	←	8	5	3	4625.4563	-0.0022
9	4	6	÷	8	4	5	4622.6356	0.0011
9	5	5	` ~	8	5	4	4617,3143	-0.0051
9	6	3	` ~	8	6	2	4599.6136	-0.0010
9	6	, 4	` ←	8	6	3	4599,2536	-0.0025
9	3	7	` ←	8	3	6	4544 4470	0.0010
10	0	10	` ∠	9	0	9	4483 2746	-0.0118
10	1	10	` ∠	, q	1	9	4103.0010	-0.0110
0	1	5	4	7	4	4	4105 0018	0.0045

## Remark on the number and intensities of rotational transitions vs. the magnitudes of the dipole moment components

If a particular type of transition is stronger, we will "on average" most likely also detect a larger number of transitions. Note that in a chirped pulse experiment the intensity of a rotational transition scales, among other things, with the square of the dipole moment. This implies that an increase or decrease of a factor of two in the dipole moment will lead, in general, to a factor of four increase or decrease in the spectral intensity. This is also why we can relate the number of transitions of a particular type with the magnitude of the corresponding dipole moment components. Weak dipole-moment components will lead to fewer rotational transitions of the corresponding type than stronger dipole-moment components.

In addition to that, the number of rotational transitions observed for a certain spectrometer range also depends on the rotational constants. However, there are mainly two aspects why we think that the dipole-moment component effect is dominant: a) We cover a broad frequency range of 6 GHz, which for the rather small rotational constants of these complexes (A, B,C<500 MHz) is quite a lot, and b) the rotational constants are very similar for the two isomers discussed here, so that the effect introduced by the rotational constants should be very comparable for both isomers. Nevertheless, we see a clear difference in the number of different types of rotational transitions, which can thus mostly be attributed to the differences in dipole moment components.

## Remark on the preparation temperature vs. temperature in the supersonic jet

The preparation temperature of the compounds has no significant influence on the cluster temperatures, as there are no metastable monomer conformations and the cluster formation happens under supersonic jet conditions. Therefore calculations were performed at 0 K, which is common practice for comparison with molecular beam experiments.

## Reference

1 C. Medcraft, S. Zinn, M. Schnell, A. Poblotzki, J. Altnöder, M. Heger, M. A. Suhm, D. Bernhard, A. Stamm, F. Dietrich, M. Gerhards, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2016, **18**, 25975–25983.